

RECORDS OF THE AUSTRALIAN MUSEUM

Volume 67

Number 3

27 May 2015

New silverfish taxa from Queensland
(Zygentoma: Lepismatidae)

by

Graeme B. Smith

Ampithoidae and Maeridae amphipods from Timor-Leste
(Crustacea: Peracarida)

by

L. E. Hughes

nature culture **discover**



Editorial Board

Dr Shane Ahyong
Dr Don Colgan
Dr Elena Kupriyanova
Dr Andrew Mitchell
Dr Robin Torrence
Dr Ross Sadler

Editor

Dr Shane McEvey

Journal compilation © 2015 Australian Museum, Sydney

No part of this publication may be reproduced without permission of the Editor.

Volume 67 Number 3

Published (print and online) 27 May 2015

Price: AU\$40.00

Printed by Rodenprint Pty Ltd, Sydney

ISSN 0067-1975 (print)

ISSN 2201-4349 (online)

The Australian Museum is a statutory authority of,
and principally funded by, the NSW State Government.



The Australian Museum houses some of the world's most important collections of Australian animal, fossil and geological specimens and cultural objects. Research on these millions of specimens and artefacts yields insights into how our world changes through time and how its diversity can be classified, interpreted, and appreciated. This knowledge, when shared among the scientific and broader community—initially through publication—helps us understand human impact on our environment and what reasonable steps society can take now for the well-being of future generations. Our responsibility is to inspire the exploration of nature and cultures; our vision is a beautiful and sustainable natural world with vibrant and diverse cultures.

Since 1889 the results of studies on Australian Museum collections, or studies that more generally lead to a better understanding of nature and cultures in Australia and the Pacific, have been published by the Museum in its premier science journal *Records of the Australian Museum*. In 1999 we began releasing PDF of published articles through our open archive website. In 2008 we adopted DOI registration for our online content to facilitate persistence of digitized objects and cross-linking in the scientific literature. In 2009 we digitized the entire legacy of all science published by us since 1851, and made that huge searchable resource permanently and freely available online. To accelerate publication of peer-reviewed science we are adopting a one- or several-article per publication model from volume 65 and we are limiting, but not abandoning, print production. The number of issues per volume will, from 2014, not be limited to three. All that is published in print is immediately and freely available online.

Authors are invited to submit manuscripts to the Editor. Manuscripts meeting subject and stylistic requirements outlined in the *Instructions to Authors* are peer-reviewed by external referees to meet standards of excellence set by the Editorial Board.

<http://australianmuseum.net.au/Scientific-Publications>

Search publications at

<http://australianmuseum.net.au/journalfinder>

Records of the Australian Museum is covered in the Thomson Reuters Scientific services: Current Contents® / Agriculture, Biology, and Environmental Sciences, and Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®)

We encourage cross-linking in the scientific literature by applying DOI registration to Australian Museum publications via CrossRef®

New Silverfish Taxa from Queensland (Zygentoma: Lepismatidae)

GRAEME B. SMITH

Australian Museum, 6 College Street, Sydney, New South Wales 2010, Australia

Federation University Australia, PO Box 663, Ballarat Victoria 3353, Australia

le_gbsmith@optusnet.com.au

ABSTRACT. A new genus and species of the silverfish subfamily Ctenolepismatinae and the first endemic species of the Lepismatinae are described from Queensland. A single male specimen of *Qantelsella louisae* n.gen., n.sp., was collected from dry leaf litter in Bladensburg National Park near Winton. *Xenolepisma monteithi* n.sp. is known from one adult female and two juvenile specimens collected with ants (*Crematogaster* sp.) from the bark of a tree on the Cape York Peninsula. This genus is also known from southern Africa, India and Malaysia and the diagnosis of the genus is emended to include the morphological differences exhibited by the Australian species.

KEYWORDS. Thysanura, taxonomy, new species, new genus, *Qantelsella*, *Xenolepisma*.

SMITH, GRAEME B. 2015. New silverfish taxa from Queensland (Zygentoma: Lepismatidae). *Records of the Australian Museum* 67(3): 67–81.

Silverfish of the family Lepismatidae are quite common in Australia although in general poorly collected. This is possibly due to their cryptic habits combined with extreme speed and agility and their comparative fragility. Escherich (1905) described the first Australian lepismatid (*Acrotelsella producta* as *Acrotelsa producta*) from Peak Downs near Capella in the central highlands of Queensland. Silvestri (1908) described several Western Australian species belonging to the genera *Acrotelsella* Silvestri, 1935 (as *Acrotelsa* Escherich) and *Heterolepisma* Escherich, 1905, as well as a single specimen of *Anisolepisma* Paclt, 1967 (described as *Heterolepisma hartmeyeri*). Nicholls & Richardson (1926) described a further two Western Australian *Acrotelsella* species. Womersley (1939) reviewed the Australian fauna, describing two South Australian species of *Acrotelsella* and, in 1942, a species of *Heterolepisma* from Lord Howe Island. The lepismatid fauna was largely ignored for the next 70 years, until recently when Smith (2013, 2014) described three new species of *Heterolepisma*; one from

Barrow Island, the others from eastern New South Wales.

Collection efforts by the author, as well as examination of some of the material in museum collections has indicated a much richer and diverse Australian fauna, with many undescribed species, dominated by the genera *Acrotelsella* and *Heterolepisma*. This paper will however describe the first Australian members of two genera that appear to be comparatively uncommon (see Fig. 1 for known locality data), one new and the other previously known from Africa and Asia.

Materials and methods

Specimens were collected either by hand in leaf litter or by spraying the trunks of trees with a pyrethrum spray (Baehr, 1995). Locality co-ordinates for specimens were obtained using a hand held Garmin eTrex®10 GPS with a claimed accuracy usually under five metres. All specimens were stored in 75–80% ethanol. A mesothoracic leg was removed about two months after collection, placed in 100%

ethanol and stored at about 4°C for DNA extraction (to date unsuccessfully). Methodology for the measurement of specimens, their dissection, mounting in Tendeiro solution and illustration conform to those of Smith (2013).

Roman numerals are used to indicate abdominal segment number. In addition, the following abbreviations are used: *ANIC*, Australian National Insect Collection, Canberra; *asl*, above sea level (in metres); *HW*, head width (in millimetres); *H+B*, head and body length (in millimetres); *L/W*, length to width (ratio); *PI*, *PII*, *PIII*, legs of prothorax, mesothorax and metathorax respectively. The term *macrochaetae* refers to the larger stronger bristles, *setae* refers to smaller thinner bristles, *setulae* to the very small, usually straight setae associated with the combs and *cilia* to the curly thin hairs also often associated with the combs. References to left and right refer to the left and right of the animal when observed from above with the head forwards.

Specimens of the new species are deposited within the entomological collection of the Queensland Museum, Brisbane (QM) with specimen accession numbers listed with the material examined.

Systematics

Lepismatidae Latreille, 1802

Subfamily Ctenolepismatinae Mendes, 1991

Qantelsella n.gen.

Type species: *Qantelsella louisae* n.sp.

Diagnosis. Small to medium-sized silverfish. Body shape as in Figs 2 and 3. Pigment present. Macrochaetae variably plumose, often quite stout (short and thick). Antennae with both circular (poculiform?) and rod-like basiconic sensillae. Chaetotaxy of frons consisting of mostly strong marginal macrochaetae which form 1+1 weak bushes anterolaterally, 1+1 weak submarginal groups at the level of the antennae not greatly separated from the marginal macrochaetae, some macrochaetae extending over the anterior part of the eyes and two macrochaetae behind the eyes; clypeus with 1+1 groups of macrochaetae; labrum with transverse proximal row of macrochaetae. Apical article of labial palp with long row of sensory papillae. Thoracic nota with short lateral bristlecombs and 1+1 posterolateral bristlecombs each with single macrochaeta; trichobothrial areas of type 1. Thoracic sternites trapezoidal with 1+1 posterolateral combs. Tarsi with four articles, pretarsus with two claws and a medial empodial claw. Urotergite 1 with 1+1 and II–VIII each with 2+2 bristlecombs, IX glabrous. Urotergite X short, subtriangular with many marginal macrochaetae, without 1+1 bristlecombs. Urosternites I–II without setae and III–VIII with 1+1 bristlecombs. Two pairs of stylets. Parameres absent. Penis typical for family; two segmented, apically with many short glandular setae each set on a protuberance.

Female unknown.

Etymology. The genus is described from a specimen collected near the town of Winton in central Queensland, a town which also claims to be the “birthplace” of Australia’s national airline Qantas (pronounced “kwantas”). The genus name is based on a combination of part of the airline name with “telsella” referring to its possible relationship to the genus *Acrotelsella*. It is treated as grammatically feminine.

Qantelsella louisae n.sp.

Figs 2–46

Type material. Holotype ♂. (HW 1.10) (QM T228755 on two slides) Queensland: Bladensburg National Park, Skull Hole, 22.55789°S 143.00044°E 204 m asl, 8.viii.2013, Graeme Smith, in dry leaf litter.

Description

Appearance in life mottled grey with distinct lighter, almost white, scales on sides of head and along both sides of abdomen and margins of urotergite X, legs above darkish grey on tibia and femur with lighter brown tarsi, antennae with darker brown pedicel, flagellum distinctly banded brown and white with the browner bands becoming longer distally, terminal filaments evenly brown (Fig. 2).

Body shape as in Figs 2 and 3, neither elongate nor broad. Body length 7.3 mm (♂); HW: 1.23 mm; thorax: length 2.4 mm (or 0.33 times H+B); width 1.75 mm widest at the mesothorax; antennae length 6.5 mm (or 0.9 H+B); cerci damaged, maximum preserved length 3.75 mm (or 0.51 H+B); median dorsal appendage broken, maximum preserved length 5.05 mm (or 0.69 H+B). Thorax only slightly wider than abdominal segment I, the following abdominal segments about the same width until the fifth or sixth and only narrowing moderately towards the posterior end.

Base colour white to light brown. Pigment brown and quite dark in places. Antennae annulated with darker brown bands getting proportionately longer distally, scape and pedicel darkly pigmented, caudal filaments more or less evenly brown, although with the hint of lighter area at the distal end of some subarticles. Head with pigment around the eyes and especially across the clypeus and labrum, mouthparts also with pigment especially laterally and palps with pigment on each article, especially distally. Coxae with dark pigment on anterior “shoulders” and along outer margin, trochanter with pigment along posterior margin, femur with pigment darker along margins especially distally and on distal end of dorsal surface, tibia more darkly pigmented with very dark patch at distal end, first article of tarsi pigmented distally, PII and PIII with some light pigment also on other tarsal articles. Urosternites VIII and especially IX, quite pigmented or more heavily sclerotized.

Body well covered with scales which are dark in colour (in alcohol), unevenly rounded, ovoid, distally truncate or even somewhat irregular with notches in the distal margin, with numerous brown subparallel ribs that do not extend beyond the margin (Fig. 4); scales lighter or hyaline along outer margin of urotergites, especially anteriorly. Ventral scales hyaline medially but brown laterad of the combs of macrochaetae. Dark scales also present on pedicel and scape and second and third articles of maxillary palp but not labial palp. Present on top of head and on labium, on all thoracic sterna and all articles of the legs except the last three tarsal articles; also present on more basal articles of cerci.

Macrochaetae quite variable, mostly pectinate, often very dark, almost black but others brown and many hyaline. The degree of pectination varies enormously, from almost smooth with a few small, hardly visible, delicate sharp pectinations to very plumose with long sharp pectinations which give an almost feathery appearance (Fig. 5) which are mostly found on posterior segments and basal articles of terminal




Figure 1. Collection localities.

filaments. Other macrochaetae are shorter, often thicker and quite stout, almost carrot-shaped, with small pectinations (Fig. 6); these are found mostly associated with the lateral combs, along the margin of the nota and on the legs. Other pectinate macrochaetae are darker with much more rounded apical pectinations (Fig. 7), such as the longer macrochaetae of the combs and lateral margins of the nota and legs. Some macrochaetae look slightly misshapen in their basal half and it is unknown if this is the natural state or related to the mounting medium as noted occurring with some nicoletiid silverfish mounted in the same way (Smith *et al.*, 2012).

Head (Fig. 8) wider than long, with macrochaetae along the anterior and lateral margins of the head and over the anterior margin of the eyes, generally this marginal row is only one to two macrochaetae wide although there are areas three to four macrochaetae wide on the anterolateral corners of the head; with 1+1 long, thin setae sublaterally on each side just posterior to the antennae and two strong macrochaetae behind the eyes on each side. Clypeus with 1+1 macrochaetae immediately anterior to the frons suture and 1+1 fields of about 14 macrochaetae more anteriorly. Labrum with a band of strong macrochaetae across the proximal quarter and additional small setulae distally. Eyes dark brown. —Antennae: scape (Fig. 9) quite long with short, robust, weakly pectinate setae subapically, pedicel shorter (0.42 length of scape) with subapical rosette of short, strong, weakly pectinate setae and several cilia, third article shorter with a few short setae and two trichobothria, fourth article shorter with some setae and three trichobothria, articles five to seven progressively a little longer with setae, three trichobothria and one to three cilia, article eight weakly subdivided into two subarticles, the basal portion without

chaetotaxy, the distal portion with setae, two trichobothria and four quite long cilia (almost as long as the short trichobothria), first pigment band on article nine, subsequent articles with similar chaetotaxy, subarticles further dividing from article 11 with trichobothria only present on the ultimate subarticle. Small circular sensillae appear from about one quarter of the length of the flagellum, becoming larger and easier to see in the more distal articles (Fig. 10), although much harder to locate on the heavily pigmented articles. They appear to be present on most subarticles although not on all. These sensillae, difficult to observe under an optical microscope, are almost circular with a raised perimeter ridge without any obvious structure emerging from within the ridge. They are probably poculiform sensillae (see electron micrograph in Irish & Mendes, 1988 p. 281 fig. 1), however, in several examples, the lip appears to be extended distally so that they resemble scutelliform sensillae (see Irish & Mendes, 1988, p. 281, fig. 5). New material and electron microscopy is required to clarify the nature of these sensillae. Rod-like basiconic sensillae also appear on the most distal subarticles in the distal half of the antennae but only in small numbers (two?). Trichobothria absent from all articles in last third of flagellum. —Mandibles (Figs 11, 12) typical for Ctenolepismatinae with well-developed molar and incisor regions; a group of eight or nine strong, apically bifurcated but simple, setae distally adjacent to the molar area and a bush of about 40 strongly pectinate macrochaetae and setae externally. —Maxilla (Figs 13–15) with four pectinate macrochaetae externally proximal to the palp, the lacinia with three teeth, one shorter than the rest, followed by about nine lamellate processes and a row of four apically bifurcate setae; ultimate article of maxillary palp 2.9 times longer than wide



Figure 2. *Qantelsella louisae* n.sp.


and 1.2 times longer than penultimate article, without any obvious papillae or specialized sensillae. Remaining articles with many moderately strong setae and no obviously stronger setae. Last three articles of palp with fine setae and cilia, basal and second articles with subapical coronae of slightly thicker setae. —Labium (Fig. 16) short and broad with rows of short, strong, apically bifurcate setae on the prementum; postmentum with a transverse row of apically bifurcate setae; glossae and paraglossae quite broad with short curved setulae. Labial palp short, ultimate article hatchet-shaped, expanded medially (Fig. 17), $\frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ wider than long (L/W 0.66–0.81) with a long, almost straight row of papillae of the “aufgelöst type” (11 on one palp, 13 on the other), apparently without other specialized sensillae; covered with numerous fine short stout setae and long fine cilia.

Pronotum (Fig. 18) with setal collar of pectinate macrochaetae in single row. Lateral margins with pectinate macrochaetae as well as three small combs anterior to the first trichobothrial area (respectively with two, three and two macrochaetae of different lengths) and some strong submarginal macrochaetae posterior to this trichobothrial area but not forming obvious combs. Anterior trichobothrial area (Fig. 19) about one third along the margin and the posterior area (Fig. 20) a little further than two thirds along the margin. Posterior margin with 1+1 single pectinate macrochaetae, each associated with two cilia and a marginal setula (Fig. 21). —Mesonotum (Fig. 22) lacking anterior

notal collar, lateral chaetotaxy similar to pronotum with five combs each of two macrochaetae anterior to the first trichobothrial area which is located about half way along the lateral margin (Fig. 23); posterior trichobothrial area (Fig. 24) slightly further back than three quarters of the way along the margin; posterior margin with 1+1 single macrochaetae as in the pronotum. —Metanotum (Figs 25–27) similar to mesonotum.

Presternum narrow, with transverse row of very small simple setae (Fig. 28). All thoracic sterna trapezoidal with 1+1 combs of pectinate and smooth setae in the posterolateral corners. —Prothoracic sternum (Fig. 28) large, about as long as wide at its base, anterolateral corners each with a single seta; posterolateral combs of 10–11 marginal or submarginal setae, some strongly pectinate, others almost smooth (Fig. 29), the distance between the combs four to five times the length of each comb. —Mesosternum not well mounted (folded over and pushed against air bubble), similar to other thoracic sterna with 1+1 combs of five or six marginal or submarginal smooth or pectinate setae (Figs 30, 31). —Metasternum (Fig. 32) about 1.2 times wider than long, the posterolateral combs of four to six setae (Figs 33, 34) with the distance between the combs about eight times the length of each comb.


Legs robust and not particularly long, not lengthening strongly posteriorly with tibia III only 1.5 times longer than tibia I. Tibia L/W ratio PI 2.1, PII 2.4, PIII 2.4; tarsi L/W



Figures 3–15. *Qantelsella louisae* n.sp. holotype ♂ (3) habitus; (4) notal scale; (5) feathery macrochaeta with very long pectinations; (6) stout macrochaeta with fine pectinations; (7) long macrochaeta with rounded pectinations; (8) head; (9) basal articles of antenna; (10) distal articles of antenna showing a circular sensilla (*cs*) and rod-like basiconic sensilla (*rs*); (11) mandible; (12) idem, detail of incisor and molar regions; (13) maxilla; (14) idem, lacinia and galea; (15) idem, ultimate article of palp. Scale bar = 0.1 mm unless otherwise indicated.

ratio PI 4.4, PII 6.0, PIII 6.6. Leg PI (Fig. 28) with single pectinate macrochaeta laterally on small article between prothoracic presternum and coxa. —Outer margin of coxa


with numerous pectinate macrochaetae, forming a comb of five macrochaetae proximally, inner margin with three robust pectinate macrochaetae spaced in the distal half and several



Figures 16–27. *Qantelsella louisae* n.sp. holotype ♂ (16) labium; (17) ultimate articles of labial palp; (18) pronotum, right half; (19) idem, anterior trichobothrial area; (20) idem, posterior trichobothrial area; (21) idem, macrochaeta of posterior margin; (22) mesonotum, right half; (23) idem, anterior trichobothrial area; (24) idem, posterior trichobothrial area; (25) metanotum, left half; (26) idem, anterior trichobothrial area; (27) idem, posterior trichobothrial area. Scale bar = 0.1 mm.

simple macrochaetae over the articulation. —Trochanter with some simple and some small pectinate setae. —Femur short and very broad, with one robust pectinate macrochaeta midway along the outer margin and a group of three (?) stout pectinate macrochaetae over the distal articulation;

posterior margin with some long pectinate setae and simple setae adjacent to the tibia below and above a row of five or six stout pectinate macrochaetae. —Tibia short and wide with two robust macrochaetae midway along outer margin and some smaller simple setae over the articulation distally;



Figures 28–35. *Qantelsella louisae* n.sp. holotype ♂ (28) prothoracic presternum, sternum and PI; (29) idem, enlargement of left comb of sternum; (30) comb of mesothoracic sternum; (31) other comb of mesothoracic sternum; (32) metathoracic sternum and PIII; (33) idem, right sternal comb; (34) idem, left sternal comb; (35) PII. Scale bar = 0.1 mm.

posterior margin with at least two stout macrochaetae in distal half as well as other simple setae; apical spine with several fine setae. —Articles of tarsus with many simple setae and some more robust setae below. —Pretarsus with two strong but simple outer claws and a strong medial empodial claw. —PII and PIII with similar chaetotaxy (Figs 32, 35) but the basal tarsal article is comparatively longer

and about equal in length to the other three combined tarsal articles on both PII and PIII.

Abdominal chaetotaxy summarized in Table 1. Urotergite 1 with 1+1 lateral combs each consisting of two long submarginal pectinate macrochaetae and two marginal short, stout pectinate macrochaetae with two cilia, one at each end of the submarginal comb. Urotergites II–VIII

each with 2+2 combs (Fig. 36), the lateral combs (Fig. 37) similar to those on urotergite I, the sublateral combs (Fig. 38) consisting of just a single, long, pectinate macrochaeta with a cilium on each side and in most cases a small, thickish setula. Urotergite IX glabrous. Urotergite X (Fig. 39) short, subtriangular (L/W 0.37), without bristlecombs, with a very dense fringe of pectinate macrochaetae, those above more robust with delicate pectinations, those below hyaline with very long pectinations.

Table 1. Number of pectinate macrochaetae per bristlecomb + (number of marginal stout macrochaetae).

segment	urotergite	urosternite	
	lateral	sublateral	lateral
I	2 + (2)	—	—
II	2 + (2)	1	—
III	2 + (2)	1	2
IV	2 + (2)	1	2
V	2 + (2)	1	2
VI	2 + (2)	1	2–3
VII	2 + (2)	1	3
VIII	2 + (2)	1	4–5 + (5–6)
IX	—	—	—

Urosternites I–II glabrous and III–VII (Fig. 40) each with 1+1 bristlecombs, composed of two to three long submarginal pectinate macrochaetae with a cilium external to the comb and a small but stout marginal seta with indistinct pectinations (Fig. 41). Urosternite VIII in ♂ entire (Fig. 42), with well-developed stylets, each armed apically with four or five stout but apparently smooth macrochaetae, as well as similar but smaller setae along the ventral face in the distal half. Lateral combs of urosternite VIII composed of four or five, long, pectinate macrochaetae mediad to the stylets and five to six short, stout pectinate macrochaetae on the dorsal surface of the margin either side of the insertion of the stylets.

Genital region of ♂ as in figure 43, the internal process of coxite IX not very long, about 1.2 times longer than wide at its base and about four times longer than the external process. Apex of internal process acute, with numerous strong macrochaetae along both the inner and outer margins; those macrochaetae inserted on the ventral side of the margin smooth or with very inconspicuous pectinations, those inserted dorsally more delicate with very obvious and long, almost feathery, pectinations. Inner and outer margins of outer process with several short, stout macrochaetae with the pectinations more pronounced on those inserted on the dorsal side of the margin. —Penis with numerous short glandular setae apically, each set on a protuberance. Parameres absent. —Stylets IX about 1½ times longer than those on urosternite VIII (excluding the apical macrochaetae), surpassing the apex of the inner process by a little less than the length of the inner process. Stylets armed apically with four or five stout but apparently smooth macrochaetae, as well as similar but smaller setae along the ventral face of the stylet (Figs 42, 43).

Terminal filaments probably incomplete. Basal articles of cerci and median dorsal appendages (Fig. 44) with hyaline feathered pectinate macrochaetae below becoming progressively less pectinate distally until completely smooth in the most distal surviving articles (Figs 45, 46).

Female unknown.

Habitat. In very dry shallow leaf litter on banks of ephemeral creek.

Etymology. The species is named for my wife Louise in appreciation of the many years of support and encouragement to follow my interest in silverfish.

Remarks

The thoracic and abdominal chaetotaxy of *Qantelsella* resembles that of the Namibian genus *Ornatilepisma* Irish but its morphology differs in several other characters usually also considered relevant at the genus level (cf. Irish, 1988). These include the cephalic chaetotaxy, especially that of the labrum (row of macrochaetae lacking in *Ornatilepisma*), the shape of the thoracic sternites (cordiform or parabolic in *Ornatilepisma*), the shape and chaetotaxy of urotergite X (short, rounded trapezoidal with 1+1 posterior combs in *Ornatilepisma*), the abdominal stylets (reduced or lacking in *Ornatilepisma*) and the number of papillae on the labial palp (only two in *Ornatilepisma*). *Qantelsella* may be derived from an *Acrotelsella*-like ancestor with a triangular urotergite X and two pairs of abdominal stylets but in many other ways is quite different. The genus *Acrotelsella* is quite diverse in Australia and much more work is required before its diversity is well enough understood to elaborate any relationship with *Qantelsella*.


Subfamily Lepismatinae Latreille, 1802

***Xenolepisma* Mendes, 1981**

Asterolepisma (*Xenolepisma*) Mendes, 1981: 201. Type species: *Lepisma globosa* Escherich, 1905 (original designation).

Xenolepisma Mendes, 1988: 12.

Diagnosis. (adapted from Mendes, 1988, and Irish, 1990). Body short, limuloid, up to 7 mm in length. Thorax wider than the base of the abdomen, about equal to or longer than half the abdomen's length, not very detached from its base and fairly convex. Pigment present. Body covered in scales, macrochaetae smooth, simple, bifid or trifid apically. Head small, free, without special characteristics; chaetotaxy of head consisting of a few macrochaetae around the eyes and a weakly developed band of setae and macrochaetae across the frons/clypeus between the antennae, clypeus and labrum with simple setae (see also Mendes, 1988, fig. 282). Eyes placed well forward and of medium development with intense pigment. Antennal sensillae asteriform. Maxillae palps small. Ultimate article of labial palp with five sensorial papillae of the compact type in two lines. All trichobothrial areas of all nota closed, encircled by scales and not in contact with edges of the respective nota; setal collar absent. Posterior margin of the nota with or without submarginal macrochaetae. Prosternum smaller than the remaining thoracic sternites. Legs typical for subfamily except tarsi of only three articles, pretarsi with two lateral claws and a shorter medial empodial claw; pulvilli absent. PIII of ♂ not modified. Urotergites I–IX with 1+1 infralateral marginal macrochaetae; urotergite I with additional 1+1 or 5+5 submarginal posterior isolated macrochaetae; urotergites II–VIII with at least an additional 2+2 submarginal isolated macrochaetae and as many as 5+5 on the more anterior segments (II–V). Urotergite X



Figures 36–46. *Qantelsella louisae* n.sp. holotype ♂ (36) urotergite II; (37) left lateral comb of urotergite IV; (38) sublateral comb of urotergite V; (39) urotergite X; (40) urosternite VI; (41) left comb of urosternite VII; (42) urosternite VIII; (43) coxites IX with penis and stylets; (44) base of cerci (on left) and median filament (on right); (45) cerci, most apical surviving articles; (46) median filament, most apical surviving articles. Scale bar = 0.1 mm.

trapezoidal, its posterior edge straight or concave. Urosternite I without comb of setae, II with a medium comb, III–VII (in ♀) on III–VIII (in the ♂) with one medium comb and 1+1 lateral combs. Stylets present in two pairs, on coxites VIII and IX. Penis of the usual shape, the parameres sacciform, strongly reduced. Ovipositor of the primary type and with subparallel sides. Cerci and median terminal filament short, very much shorter than half of the length of the abdomen.

Xenolepisma monteithi n.sp.

Figs 47–85

Type material. Holotype ♀ (probably not fully mature, HW 0.55) (QM T228756 on two slides) Queensland: Cape York, Bramwell Station, 12.13866°S 142.62345°E 73m asl, 21.vii.2013, Graeme Smith, pyrethrum spray to bark of Eucalypt. Paratypes 1 juvenile ♀ (HW 0.54) (QM T228757 on two slides), 1 juvenile ♀ (HW 0.50) (QM T228758 in alcohol with presumed host ants *Crematogaster* sp.), all same collection data as holotype.

Diagnosis. This species differs from other described species of the genus most obviously in the presence of macrochaetae on the posterior margins of the nota and in the 6+6 chaetotaxy of the anterior urotergites.

Description

Appearance in life as for all other known members of genus i.e. almost black in colour with distinct 1+1 large white patches on anterior half of mesonotum, transversely across the posterior two thirds of urotergite I and 1+1 patches on anterior margin of urotergite VII (see Smith *et al.*, 2011: plate 2), due to the presence of hyaline scales that allow the colour of the underlying integument to show through; these patches can be very difficult to define in animals that have lost a lot of their scale cover. All appendages appear light brown/white in colour.

Small insects (Fig. 47), about 3.5 mm long (estimated as abdomen distended in all specimens and probably not fully mature); maximum head width 0.55 mm; antennae incomplete in holotype ($> \frac{1}{3}$ H+B), probably just a little longer than the thorax; cerci short, less than one tenth H+B; median dorsal appendage incomplete in holotype, slightly longer than cerci exceeding the apex of urotergite X by about the length of this urotergite. Thorax about half the length of the abdomen; abdomen at its base not much narrower than the thorax and not clearly set apart from it.

Integument generally white with blotchy brownish pigment present on the back and sides of the frons, the entire clypeus, labrum and labium including mouthparts although less on the maxillary palp, especially the ultimate article, and absent from around the papillae on the ultimate article of the labial palp. Present on the scape but not pedicel; remainder of flagellum with some pigment in proximal half. Pigment present along sides of nota and also the posterior urotergites. Coxae with intense pigment but remaining articles white (except for hint of pigment along margins of femur), moderately strong pigmentation on prothoracic presternum and thoracic sternites.

Scales with numerous subparallel rays which do not surpass the posterior margin of the scale (Fig. 48), in alcohol


mostly dark to lighter brown in colour or hyaline. Scales on top of head but lacking elsewhere on head and absent from mouthparts and antennae, coxa heavily scaled, but scales absent from remaining leg articles. Ovipositor and terminal filaments without scales.

Macrochaetae smooth, some robust and apically bifurcate (Fig. 49), others more slender with delicate apical bifurcations (Fig. 50).


Head (Fig. 51) wider than long; eyes well forward; vertex lacking chaetotaxy; some strong macrochaetae around the eyes, a narrow field of a few strong macrochaetae and several smaller setae between antennae above the clypeus. Clypeus with area of weaker setae subdistally. Labrum with a few setae. —Antennae (Figs 51, 52) with subarticles of most distal surviving articles about as long as wide, with groups of four subarticles forming a chain with repeated sensillae patterns. Specialized asteriform sensillae present in distal two thirds of the flagellum (Fig. 52). Chains with a triramous asteriform sensilla on each of the two most distal subarticles of a chain, a trichobothrium on the following segment and a biramous specialized asteriform sensilla, usually adjacent to a small thin-walled basiconic sensilla (see Adel, 1984) on the basal subarticle of each chain. —Mandibles (Figs 53, 54) with three or four incisor teeth, and a distinct but small molar region and a field of about 20–30 strong, simple or apically bifurcate macrochaetae on external surface in proximal two thirds. —Maxillae (Figs 55–57), lacinia with three small teeth, one shorter than the others, followed by seven lamellate process and seven long setae; maxillary palp short, its distal article subcylindrical about 2.7–3.0 times longer than wide and 1.4–2.0 times longer than the penultimate article, the second article subapically with rosette of somewhat stronger stout, apically bifurcated macrochaetae, third article with similar but weaker rosette of slightly stronger simple setae, last article with fine setae and one or two (more?) biramous asteriform sensillae (Fig. 57). —Labium (Fig. 58), apical article of palp about 0.76–0.81 times longer than wide, with 3+2 large compact sensory papillae apically extending across most of the width of the article (Fig. 59).

Pronotum (Fig. 60) about 1.3–1.4 times longer than meso- and metanota respectively; lacking collar of setae along anterior margin. All nota with short macrochaetae on lateral margins and a submarginal row of 5+6 or 6+6 setae, each seta mostly, but not always associated with a small setula (Fig. 48). Each notum with two closed trichobothrial areas (isolated from margins by scales), those of the prothorax located anteriorly and subposteriorly, while those of the meso- (Fig. 61) and metanota (Fig. 62) are both subposterior. Each trichobothrial area provided with a short trichobothria and a small setula posterolaterally to it (Figs 63, 64).


Presternum (Fig. 65) fairly wide with posterior marginal row of fine delicately apically bifurcate setae. Prothoracic sternum (Figs 65, 66) small, subcordiform, slightly wider at its base than long, with small emargination on each side, several fine marginal setae and 1+1 larger stronger delicately bifurcate submarginal setae, as well as 1+1 fine setae submedially. —Mesosternum (Fig. 67, 68) about 1.2 times as wide as long and not obviously emarginated, with some small marginal setae distally and 1+1 subapical combs of four to five long, apically delicately bifurcate setae; the distance between the combs being slightly more than the length of each comb. —Metasternum (Figs 69, 70) similar in size and chaetotaxy to mesosternum.



Figures 47–59. *Xenolepisma monteithi* n.sp. ♀ (47) habitus; (48) scales and posterior macrochaeta of pronotum; (49) robust, apically bifurcate macrochaeta; (50) slender, delicately bifurcate macrochaeta; (51) head and base of antenna (cross-hatched area obscured by dark pigment from eyes); (52) antenna, most distal surviving article showing biramous (as2) and triramous (as3) asteriform sensillae and the thin-walled basiconic sensilla (bs); (53) mandible; (54) idem, detail of incisor and molar regions; (55) maxilla; (56) idem, detail of lacinia and galea; (57) idem, last article of maxillary palp; (58) labium; (59) idem, last two articles of palp. Scale bar = 0.1 mm unless otherwise indicated.



Figures 60–71. *Xenolepisma monteithi* n.sp. ♀ (60) pronotum, left half; (61) mesonotum, right half; (62) metanotum, left side; (63) anterior trichobothrial area of mesonotum; (64) posterior trichobothrial area of mesonotum; (65) presternum, prothoracic sternum and PI; (66) apex of prothoracic sternum; (67) mesothoracic sternum and PII; (68) apex of mesothoracic sternum; (69) metathoracic sternum; (70) idem, apex; (71) PIII. Scale bar = 0.1 mm.



Figures 72–85. *Xenolepisma monteithi* n.sp. ♀ (72) urotergite III; (73) urotergite VII; (74) lateral and first posterior submarginal macrochaeta of urotergite I; (75) urotergite X, left cercus and median filament; (76) urosternite II; (77) idem, detail of medial comb; (78) urosternite IV; (79) idem, left lateral comb; (80) idem, medial comb; (81) coxite VIII, coxites IX and ovipositor; (82) stylet VIII; (83) stylet IX; (84) apex of ovipositor; (85) coxites VIII and developing ovipositor of paratype (T228757). Scale bar = 0.1 mm.

Legs (Figs 65, 67, 71), tibia L/W PI 2.1–2.5, PII 2.5–3.1, PIII 3.1–3.4; tarsi L/W PI 5.4–6.0, PII 5.8–6.8, PIII 5.8–7.3; sclerite immediately basal to each coxa of PI with two long stronger, apically bifurcate setae. —Coxae of all legs scaled with strong apically bifurcate macrochaetae, some robust and other more delicate, and finer smaller setae along the outer margins; ventral surface medially with a comb of 11–12 simple short setae and on dorsal surface anteriorly combs of two or three delicately apically bifurcate macrochaetae near the outer margin and medially two long fine setae posteriorly. —Trochanter fairly large with setae only. —Femur with one longer stout and two smaller macrochaetae at medial posterior angle and some stronger setae along the ventral ridge distad of the medial angle, rest of ventral surface covered in fine setae. —Tibia with two stout macrochaetae distally, one

of which is the same length as the apical spur; ventral face with one stout macrochaeta about one third the distance along the tibia, another stout macrochaeta on the posterior margin and another on the anterior margin near the distal end; rest of surface covered in fine setae. —Tarsi consisting of three articles covered with setae. —Pretarsus with two lateral claws and a medial slightly shorter empodial claw.

Abdominal chaetotaxy summarized in Table 2. Urotergites I–IX (Figs 72, 73) with 1+1 infralateral macrochaetae almost $\frac{1}{2}$ the length of the corresponding tergite located on the margin of the tergite. Urotergites I–V with additional 5+5 distinctly submarginal setae which are shorter than the infralateral macrochaetae (Fig. 74) and somewhat superficially similar in appearance to the abiesiform setae of *Australiatehura* spp. (see Smith & McRae, 2014: 106) distributed with almost

equal spacing anterior to the posterior margin, the number of macrochaetae decreasing progressively to only 3+3 in total on IX. Most urotergal setae associated with a small cilia located between the insertion of the seta and the margin and usually slightly laterad to it (Fig. 74). Urotergite X (Fig. 75) trapezoidal, with 2+2 macrochaetae along the almost straight posterior border (more concave in juvenile paratype) and at least one macrochaeta on lateral border.

Table 2. Number of macrochaetae per bristlecomb.

segment	urotergites	urosternites	
	isolated macrochaetae per side (including infralaterals)	macrochaetae per comb lateral	medial
I	6+6	—	—
II	5+6	—	3
III	6+6	1–2	4
IV	6+6	3	3
V	6+6	3	4
VI	5+5?	3	2
VII	4+5	3	4
VIII	4+4	2	—
IX	3+3	—	—

Urosternite I without combs. Urosternite II with a narrow medial comb of three long, thin apically bifurcated macrochaetae (Figs 76, 77). Urosternites III–VII (Fig. 78) with 1+1 sublateral combs (Fig. 79) each of 1–4 delicately apically bifurcate macrochaetae associated with a small cilia and in one case a setula between two of the macrochaetae of one comb and one medial comb (Fig. 80) of 2–4 long, thin macrochaetae. The lateral combs on III more mediad than those of the more posterior segments. Coxites VIII of ♀ with 1+1 combs of two macrochaetae internal to stylets (Fig. 81). Internal process of coxite IX of ♀ (Fig. 81) about 1.8 times as long as broad at the base and 1.6 times longer than the external process. —Stylets on urosternites VIII and IX in ♀ holotype (Figs 82, 83). —Ovipositor (Figs 81 and 84) only just surpassing apex of internal processes of urosternite IX; with about 18 articles and only fine setae. It is however quite likely that the ovipositor of the holotype has not achieved its maximum length as the juvenile female paratype with a head width only slightly less than the holotype has a very rudimentary ovipositor and stylets only just appearing on coxites VIII (Fig. 85).

Terminal filaments short, cerci consisting of only about five articles and median filament of about seven, the latter only extending beyond the posterior margin of urotergite

X by about the length of the urotergite (Fig. 75); terminal filaments with numerous small trichobothria and some small and also strong macrochaetae.

Mature males unknown.

Habitat. Collected using pyrethrum spray to bark of Eucalypt tree in a semi-open tropical woodland environment. Several *Acrotelsella* specimens, as well as ants of the genus *Crematogaster*, were collected from the same area of rough bark (c. 0.5m²). It is assumed that the *Xenolepisma* were associated with these ants.

Etymology. The species is named for Dr Geoff Monteith as a way of thanking him for introducing me to his pyrethrum spray sampling method (outlined in Baehr, 1995). The method has proven remarkably effective at non-destructively sampling complex habitats and has opened my eyes to the importance of the bark of standing trees as a habitat for so many Australian lepismatid species.

Remarks

Xenolepisma monteithi is the first Australian native species of the subfamily Lepismatinae to be described. This subfamily has its greatest number of species in the Afrotropical and Palearctic regions. The only other species of the subfamily reported from Australia is the cosmopolitan anthropophilic European household silverfish *Lepisma saccharina* Linnaeus, 1775 which was recorded doubtfully by Silvestri (1908) and reported as common within storage facilities in the “larger towns of the Commonwealth” by Womersley (1939). The genus *Xenolepisma* is also known from southern Africa, India and peninsula Malaysia. Smith *et al.* (2011) discussed the history of this genus when describing *Xenolepisma penangi* and also presented a key to the species of the genus. The new species would key to *X. subnigrina* Silvestri at the first couplet but can easily be separated from it by the presence of macrochaetae on the posterior margins of the nota (absent in all other species described from the genus) and the 6+6 macrochaetae on the posterior margin of the more anterior urotergites. Smith & Watson (1991) noted the presence of *Xenolepisma* in Australia based on a single specimen collected at Bundeena near Sydney in New South Wales (ANIC), specimens collected near Townsville (ANIC) and with termites near Coen (ANIC), both in Queensland (Fig. 1). There are also two records from the Northern Territory with a specimen collected from a mangrove stump near Darwin (ANIC) and Conway (1992) reported *Xenolepisma* sp. from a dormant nest of the Red Honey Ant (*Melophorus bagoti* Lubbock) at Alice Springs. Presumably the genus is widespread but not commonly encountered.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. For the decision to erect a new genus for *Qantelsella* I am very grateful for the advice of Dr Luis Mendes, (Lisbon, Portugal) and Dr Rafael Molero-Baltanás (Cordoba, Spain) both of whom discouraged me from my original plan to include the species within *Ornatilepisma*. They willingly shared their extensive experience, including of the African fauna, resulting in this revision of my earlier draft. I would also like to thank Dr Geoff Monteith, formerly QM, for collecting advice, the Queensland Department of Environment and Heritage Protection for permission to collect within the Bladensburg National Park, Mr Derek Smith of the Australian Museum for identification of the ant species from Bramwell Station and Dr Shane McEvey, also of the Australian Museum, for assistance with the illustrations.

References

- Adel, T. 1984. Sensilleninventar und sensillenmuster auf den Antennen von *Thermobia domestica* und *Lepisma saccharina* (Insecta: Zygentoma). *Braunschweiger Naturkundliche Schriften* 2: 191–217.
- Baehr, M. 1995. Revision of *Philipis* (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Bembidiinae), a genus of arboreal Tachyine beetles from the rainforests of eastern Australia. Taxonomy, phylogeny, and biogeography. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* 38: 315–381.
- Conway, J. R. 1992. Notes on the excavation of a nest of *Melophorus bagoti* Lubbock in the Northern Territory, Australia (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Journal of the Australian Entomological Society* 31: 247–248.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-6055.1992.tb00500.x>
- Escherich, K. 1905. Das System der Lepismatiden. *Zoologica* (Stuttgart) 43(18): 1–164.
- Irish, J. 1988. New psammophilous Lepismatidae (Thysanura) from southwestern Africa. *Cimbebasia* 10: 31–46.
- Irish, J. 1990. Phylogeny of the Lepismatidae (Thysanura), with a revision of the southern African genera. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Faculty of Science, University of Pretoria. 337 pp.
- Irish, J., and L. F. Mendes. 1988. New genera and species of ultra-psammophilous Namib Desert Lepismatidae (Thysanura). *Madoqua* 15(4): 275–284.
- Mendes, L. F. 1981. Nota sobre o género *Asterolepisma* e descrição de uma nova especie (Zygentoma, Lepismatidae). *Revue de Zoologie Africaine* (Tervuren) 95(1): 200–209.
- Mendes, L. F. 1988. Revisão do género *Lepisma* Lin., 1758 s.lat. (Zygentoma, Lepismatidae). *Boletim da Sociedade Portuguesa de Entomologia* (Suppl.) 2: 1–236.
- Nicholls, G. E., and K. C. Richardson. 1926. A description of two new species of *Acrotelsa*. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 12(15): 133–142.
- Silvestri, F. 1908. Thysanura. In *Die Fauna Südwest-Australiens. Ergebnisse der Hamburger südwest-australischen Forschungsreise 1905*, ed. W. Michaelsen & R. Hartmeyer, vol. 2, pp. 47–68. Jena: Gustav Fischer.
- Smith, G. B. 2013. A new species of *Heterolepisma* from Barrow Island (Zygentoma: Lepismatidae). *Records of the Western Australian Museum*. Supplement 83: 229–240.
- Smith, G. B. 2014. Two new species of *Heterolepisma* (Zygentoma: Lepismatidae) from eastern New South Wales. *General and Applied Entomology* 42: 7–22.
- Smith, G. B., S. M. Eberhard, G. Perina, and T. Finston, 2012. New species of short range endemic troglobitic silverfish (Zygentoma: Nicoletiidae) from subterranean habitats in Western Australia's semi-arid Pilbara region. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 27: 101–116.
- Smith, G. B., and J. M. McRae. 2014. New species of subterranean silverfish (Zygentoma: Nicoletiidae: Atelurinae) from Western Australia's semi-arid Pilbara region. *Records of the Western Australian Museum*. 29: 105–127.
- Smith, G. B., G. Veera Singham, M.-K. Kuah, and C.-Y. Lee. 2011. Two new inquiline silverfish (Zygentoma: Ateluridae, Lepismatidae) from Malaysia. *Sociobiology* 57(1): 19–34.
- Smith, G. B., and J. A. L. Watson. 1991. Thysanura Zygentoma (Silverfish). In *The Insects of Australia*. ed. CSIRO, Division of Entomology, pp. 275–278. Melbourne: Melbourne University Press.
- Womersley, H. 1939. *Primitive insects of South Australia. Silverfish, springtails and their allies*. Adelaide: Frank Trigg, Government Printer, 322 pp.
- Womersley, H. 1942. A new species of silver-fish from Lord Howe Island. *Records of the Australian Museum* 21(2): 116–117.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1975.21.1942.264>

Manuscript submitted 9 February 2015, revised 20 April 2015, and accepted 29 April 2015.

Ampithoidae and Maeridae Amphipods from Timor-Leste (Crustacea: Peracarida)

L. E. HUGHES

Australian Museum Research Institute, 6 College Street, Sydney NSW 2010, Australia
lauren.hughes@austmus.gov.au

ABSTRACT. Five known and four new species of amphipod are documented from Timor-Leste. The species biodiversity of the region shows a close affinity to what is known from tropical north Australia. Although records of amphipods from the Lesser Sunda Region are limited, the species recorded have distributions throughout the broader Indo-Pacific. The new species *Ampithoe atauru*, *Elasmopus tibarensis*, *Linguimaera christorei* and *Quadrinemaera metinaro* are described herein.

HUGHES, L. E. 2015. Ampithoidae and Maeridae amphipods from Timor-Leste (Crustacea: Peracarida). *Records of the Australian Museum* 67(3): 83–108.

Studies of amphipods from the Indonesian Archipelago have been based on small collections. Early works by from The Siboga Expedition collected amphipod specimens from the Lesser Sunda Region, Sulawesi, Sulu Archipelago, North and South Moluccas and Irian Jaya (Pirlot, 1932; 1934; 1936; 1938). This extensive work remains the largest study for the region recording over 100 amphipod species.

The Rumphius II Expedition of 1975 resulted in the documentation of over 30 amphipod species from the North Moluccas by Ledoyer (1979). Collections from the Grigor Antipa study by Ortiz & Lalana (1997, 1999, 2003; Gutu, 1997) documented 69 amphipod taxa from the Indonesian Archipelago including Pati (Java), Bali (Lesser Sunda Region), Bontang (Borneo), Bunaken (northern Sulawesi) and Maros (southern Sulawesi). A single species from West Java, *Quadrinemaera franzi* Krapp-Schickel & Ruffo, 2006 described from Pulu Putri was included in a larger work on the genus. Eight corophiidean amphipods are documented from Bali (Lesser Sunda Region) by Krapp-Schickel & Myers (2006).

The rare interstitial beach amphipods from the North Moluccas have been recently treated by Vonk *et al.*, (2011) and Vonk & Juame (2013, 2014) with 3 species described from 2 families.

The Australian Museum collection of amphipods from Timor-Leste further expands our knowledge on the fauna from the developing area of Lesser Sunda Region, reporting five known and four new species.

Materials and methods

The material examined for this study was collected during the Australian Museum Timor-Leste Expedition in September 2012. Sampling in the field was by hand on Scuba. A map to all Australian Museum Timor-Leste marine collection locations is shown in Fig. 1. All material examined is deposited in the Australian Museum, Sydney (AM). Material was dissected in 80% ethanol. Permanent slides were made using Aquatex mounting agent. Illustrations were made using a Leitz Laborlux K and Wilde Heerbrugg stereomicroscopes fitted with camera lucida. Abbreviations for parts are as follows: *A*—antenna; *F*—accessory flagellum; *G*—gnathopod; *L*—left; *LL*—lower lip; *Md*—mandible; *Mx*—maxilla; *p*—palp; *P*—pereopod; *R*—right; *T*—telson; *U*—uropod; and *UL*—upper lip. Descriptions were generated from an Open DELTA database of the Ampithoidae and Maeridae, containing all Indo-Pacific species of *Ampithoe*, *Elasmopus*, *Linguimaera* and *Quadrinemaera*, respectively. Station numbers for the expedition are of the form TM 2012-*n* where *n* is a unique sample number useful when studying species assemblages.




Figure 1. Map of Timor-Leste, symbols (see key) indicate sampling sites.

Systematics section

Ampithoidae Stebbing, 1899

Ampithoe atauro sp. nov.

Figs 2–4

Type material. Holotype male, 6.0 mm, dissected, 4 slides, AM P.90591, east of Atauro Island (8°14'30"S 125°36'49"E), 14 m, inner reef, reef slope, mixed turfing algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 20 September 2012 (TM 2012-034); paratype male, 6.5 mm, dissected, 1 slide, AM P.90592, east of Atauro Island (8°14'30"S 125°36'49"E), 14 m, inner reef, reef slope, mixed turfing algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 20 September 2012 (TM 2012-034); paratype female, 7.5 mm, dissected, 1 slide, AM P.90593, east of Atauro Island (8°14'30"S 125°36'49"E), 14 m, inner reef, reef slope, mixed turfing algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 20 September 2012 (TM 2012-034); paratypes 2 specimens, AM P.90603, east of Atauro Island (8°14'30"S 125°36'49"E), 14 m, inner reef,

reef slope, mixed turfing algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 20 September 2012 (TM 2012-034); paratypes, many specimens, AM P.90590, east of Atauro Island, (8°14'30"S 125°36'49"E), 14 m, inner reef, reef slope, mixed turfing algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 20 September 2012 (TM 2012-034); paratypes, 3 specimens, AM P.90604, east of Atauro Island (8°13'48"S 125°36'57"E), 17 m, outer reef, dense coral reef slope, green alga *Halimeda* sp., coll. L.E. Hughes, 20 September 2012 (TM 2012-087).

Type locality. Atauro Island, Timor-Leste (8°14'30"S 125°36'49"E).

Etymology. Named from the type locality, applied as a noun in apposition.

Additional material examined. 8 male and female specimens, AM P.90605, 150 m off shore, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, east of Dili (8°31'01"S 125°42'05"E), 12–13 m, coral rubble bank, silty dead coral substrate, coll. L.E. Hughes & P.A. Hutchings, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-082); 4 specimens, AM P.90606, south side off Christo Rei Beach, east of Dili (8°31'46"S 125°36'21"E), 5.5 m, coral slope, broad-leaf green




Figure 2. *Ampithoe atauro* sp. nov., male, 6.0 mm, AM P.90591, east of Atauro Island.

alga *Halimeda* sp., coll. L.E. Hughes, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-074); 1 male specimen, AM P.90607, south side off Christu Rei Beach, east of Dili (8°31'46"S 125°36'21"E), 9 m, coral slope, sand and short-leaf green alga *Halimeda* sp., coll. L.E. Hughes, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-077); 2 specimens, AM P.90608, south side off Christu Rei Beach, east of Dili (8°31'46"S 125°36'21"E), 5–10 m, coral slope, dead coral rubble, coll. L.E. Hughes, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-088); 3 specimens, AM P.90609, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach, east of Cape Fatucama (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 12–15 m, dead coral rubble with epiphytic growth and sponges, coll. A. Murray, P.A. Hutchings, L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-014); 4 specimens, AM P.90610, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach, east of Cape Fatucama (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 12 m, red and green algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-019).

Diagnosis. *Mandible* accessory setal row with 5 robust setae. *Labium* outer plates slit, forming a deep distal cleft, medial and lateral lobes subequal in size. *Maxilla 1* palp article 2 with 6 robust setae. *Gnathopod 1* coxa distinctly larger than coxa 2, anterior margin straight, basis anterodistal lobe large and rounded, with 1 robust seta. *Gnathopod 2* basis shorter than coxa, anterodistal lobe very large and rounded, reaching beyond ischium, with row of 7 robust setae; ischium anterior margin bilobate; carpus cup-shaped, width 0.9 times length, shorter than propodus, anterior margin with 3–4 robust setae; propodus broad, subovoid, 1.1 times as long as broad, palm acute, excavate, posterodistal tooth, short (length 1.5 times breadth), apically subacute, without palm defining robust setae; dactylus shorter than palm. *Uropod 1* peduncle with long slender setal fringe 0.8 times length. *Uropod 3* peduncle without marginal slender or robust setae, with 5 distal peduncular robust setae, with 5 distal slender setae; inner ramus without lateral robust setae, with 3 distal robust and 5 distal slender setae.

Description. Based on male holotype, 6.0 mm, AM P.90591.

Head. As long as deep. *Antenna 1* longer than antenna 2; peduncular article 1 subequal to article 2; article 2 longer (3 times) than article 3; article 3 (0.3 times) shorter than article

1; primary flagellum 19 articles; accessory flagellum, absent/vestigial. *Antenna 2* slender, similar to antenna 1; peduncle article 4 subequal in length to article 5; flagellum longer than peduncular article 5, with 15 articles. *Mandible* molar well developed, triturating, accessory setal row with 5 robust setae; palp 3-articulate, apically and marginally setose; article 1 shorter (0.3 times) than article 2; article 2 subequal in length to article 3; article 3 long, 3 times as long as wide. *Labium* outer plates slit, forming a deep distal cleft, medial and lateral lobes subequal in size; medial lobes with ducts; mandibular lobe with curved margins, apically rounded to subacute. *Maxilla 1* inner plate with 4 slender setae; palp well developed, with 6 robust setae. *Maxilla 2* inner and outer plates subequal in width. *Maxilliped* outer plate with developed row of large robust setae along medial margin.

Pereon. *Coxae 1 to 4* longer than broad. *Gnathopod 1* coxa distinctly larger than coxa 2, broader than deep, anterior margin straight, anteroventral corner produced, rounded; basis subequal in length to coxa, posterior margin without setae, anterodistal lobe large and rounded, with 1 robust seta; ischium anterior margin with large lobe; merus anterodistal lobe rounded, posterodistal corner produced, subacute; carpus subtriangular, 1.75 times as long as broad, longer than merus, shorter than propodus, 0.95 times propodus, anterior margin with 2 robust setae, posterior margin lobe truncated; propodus subrectangular, narrow, length 2.1 times width, palm acute, convex, without posterodistal shelf, palm defining corner rounded with 1 robust seta; dactylus subequal




Figure 3. *Ampithoe ataura* sp. nov., male, 6.0 mm, AM P.90591, east of Ataura Island, scales 0.1 mm.

in length to palm, inner margin serrate. *Gnathopod 2* sexually dimorphic; basis shorter than coxa, anterodistal lobe very large and rounded, reaching beyond ischium, with row of 7 robust setae; ischium anterior margin bilobate; merus margin with long, subacute anterodistal lobe; carpus cup-shaped,

width 0.9 times length, shorter than propodus, anterior margin with 3–4 robust setae; propodus broad, subovoid, 1.1 times as long as broad, palm acute, excavate, without proximal or midpalmar tooth, posterodistal tooth, short (length 1.5 times breadth), apically subacute, without palm




Figure 4. *Ampithoe atauru* sp. nov., male, 6.0 mm, AM P.90591, G1 and G2 female, 7.5 mm, AM P.90593, east of Atauro Island, scales 0.1 mm.

defining robust setae; dactylus shorter than palm, tapering evenly, apically acute, inner margin serrate. *Pereopods 3–4* basis expanded; carpus as long as broad. *Pereopods 5–7* merus subrectangular; distal articles slender; propodus not expanded distally, not expanded, subrectangular; dactylus

slightly curved. *Pereopod 6* basis posterior margin rounded proximally, straight distally, with marginal robust setae, without medial slender setae.

Pleon. *Epimera 1–3* posteroventral corner rounded. *Uropod 1* reaching end of uropod 2 rami; peduncle with 6




Figure 5. *Ceradocus serratus* (Bate, 1862), male 4.0 mm, AM P.90574, west of Dili, off Tibar, scales 0.1 mm.

robust setae, with long slender setal fringe 0.8 times length of peduncle, distoventral spine absent; inner ramus subequal in length to outer ramus, with 6 marginal robust setae; outer ramus slender, length 4.5 times as long as broad, with more 6 marginal robust setae. *Uropod 2* peduncle without distolateral projection, with 2 robust setae; rami subequal in length; inner ramus with 7 marginal robust setae; outer ramus with 4 marginal robust setae. *Uropod 3* peduncle longer than broad, length 1.6 times breadth, 2.2 times rami length, without marginal slender or robust setae, with 5 distal peduncular robust setae, with 5 distal slender setae; rami short, 0.9 times as long as broad; inner ramus without lateral robust setae, with 3 distal robust and 5 distal slender setae; outer ramus subequal in length to inner ramus, with 2 large recurved distal robust setae, without lateral robust setae, without setal fringe or patch of small lateral denticles.

Female (sexually dimorphic characters). Based on paratype, 7.5 mm, AM P.90593.

Gnathopod 1 coxa distinctly larger than coxa 2, as broad as deep, anterior margin straight, anteroventral corner produced, rounded; basis posterior margin with a few setae, anterodistal lobe large and rounded, with 4 robust setae; ischium anterior margin without lobe; carpus subtriangular, 1.9 times as long as broad, longer than merus, shorter than propodus, 0.95 times propodus, anterior margin without

robust setae. *Gnathopod 2* basis anterodistal lobe large and rounded, reaching end of ischium, with 3 slender setae; ischium anterior margin truncate; merus margin distally truncate; carpus subtriangular, shorter than propodus, anterior margin with 1 robust seta; propodus subrectangular, 1.5 times as long as broad, palm subacute, convex, without proximal or midpalmar tooth, palm defined by broadly rounded corner with 1 robust seta.

Remarks. *Ampithoe atauru* sp. nov. is most similar to *A. akuolaka* Barnard, 1970 based on the combination of the male gnathopod 2 propodus anterior margin without rows of long slender setae and the palm defined by a tooth. In *A. atauru* sp. nov., the gnathopod 2 palm is much broader than in *A. akuolaka*.

Ampithoe atauru sp. nov. can be readily distinguished from other *Ampithoe* by the male gnathopod 2 basis anterior margin which has an extremely well-developed anterodistal lobe lined that is lined with 7 robust setae. The gnathopod 2 basis with an extremely large anterodistal lobe with setae, is also seen in *A. cookana*, Peart, 2007, *A. meganae* Peart, 2007; and *A. hinatore* Barnard, 1972b. In *A. atauru* sp. nov., *A. cookana* and *A. meganae*, the lobe is lined with differing counts of robust setae and only slender setae are present in *A. hinatore*.

Distribution. Timor-Leste. Arturo Island, Dili (current study).




Figure 6. *Ceradocus serratus* (Bate, 1862), female 5.5 mm, AM P.90575, west of Dili, off Tibar, scales 0.1 mm.

Maeridae Krapp-Schickel, 2008

Ceradocus Costa, 1853

Ceradocus serratus (Bate, 1862)

Figs 5–6

- Megamaera serrata* Bate, 1862: 226, pl. 39, fig. 5.
Moera spinosa Haswell, 1879a: 268, pl. 10, fig. 5. —Haswell, 1882: 257. —Haswell, 1885: 105, figs 5–12 (part).
Ceradocus rubromaculatus. —Della Valle, 1893: 720 (in part). —Stebbing, 1906: 431 (in part).
Maera spinosa. —Stebbing, 1910a: 642.
Ceradocus (*Denticeradocus*) *serrata*. —Sheard, 1939: 285, figs 4, 5a–m.
Ceradocus serratus. —J. L. Barnard, 1972a: 221, figs 130–131. —Ledoyer, 1979: 66, fig. 36. —Ledoyer, 1983: 440, fig. 165. —Barnard & Barnard, 1983: 616. —Lowry & Stoddart, 2003: 176, (catalogue). —Krapp-Schickel & Vader, 2009: 2061–2065, (key). —Ren, 2012: 190, fig. 82.

Type locality. Flinders and Hummock Islands, Bass Strait, southern Australia.

Material examined. 1 male, 4.0 mm, dissected, 4 slides, AM P.90574, west of Dili, off Tibar, on outer side of reef, rubble and sandy reef slope (8°33'48"S 125°28'31"E), 10–12 m, coral rubble and mixed algae, coll. A. Murray, 21 September 2012 (TM 2012-037); 1 female, 5.5 mm, dissected, 1 slide, AM P.90575, west of Dili, off Tibar, on outer side of reef, rubble and sandy reef slope (8°33'48"S 125°28'31"E), 10–12 m, coral rubble and mixed algae, coll. A. Murray, 21 September 2012 (TM 2012-037); 1 specimen, AM P.90573, west of Dili, off Tibar, on outer side of reef, rubble and sandy reef slope (8°33'48"S 125°28'31"E), 10–12 m, coral rubble and mixed algae, coll. A. Murray, 21 September 2012 (TM 2012-037); 2 specimens, AM P.90596, east of Cape Fatucama, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 11.9 m, dead coral head, coll. L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-020); 1 specimen, AM P.90597, east of Cape Fatucama, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 12–15 m, dead coral rubble with epiphytic growth and sponges, coll. A. Murray, P.A. Hutchings, L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-014); 1 specimen, AM P.90598, east of Atauro Island, inner reef, reef slope (8°14'30"S




Figure 7. *Elasmopus alalo* Myers, 1986, male, 9.0 mm, AM P.90560, east of Metinaro, Secret Garden Reef, scales 0.1 mm.

125°36'49"E), 12 m, fine-leaf green alga *Halimeda* sp. and lump of dead coral, coll. P.A. Hutchings, 20 September 2012 (TM 2012-029).

Distribution. Timor-Leste (current study). Australia: New South Wales, Victoria, Tasmania (Bate, 1862; Barnard, 1972a; Haswell, 1879; Haswell, 1885; Sheard, 1939).

Elasmopus Costa, 1853

Elasmopus alalo Myers, 1986

Fig. 7

Elasmopus pseudaffinis Barnard, 1965: 501, figs 12, 13. —Ledoyer, 1972: 219, pls 38, 39. —Ledoyer, 1978: 273, fig. 20a (in part). —Berents, 1983: 118, figs 15, 16. —Ledoyer, 1983: 480, figs 181, 182. —Ledoyer, 1984: 65, fig. 30b. —Ren, 1998: 203–205, fig. 6.

Elasmopus alalo Myers, 1986: 273, figs 4, 5. —Myers, 1995: 27. —Lowry and Stoddart, 2003: 177. —Lowry and Hughes, 2009: 646–649, figs 1, 2. —Hughes & Lowry, 2011: 583, 584. —Krapp-Schickel & Muller, 2011: 1, 2, figs. 1, 2. —Vader & Krapp-Schickel, 2012: 1196 (key).

Type locality. Utulau, Tonga.

Material examined. 1 A male, 9.0 mm, dissected, 4 slides, AM P.90560, east of Metinaro, Secret Garden Reef (8°29'15"S 125°49'53"E), 20 m, tufts of red algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 22 September 2012 (TM 2012-060); 1 B female, 8.0 mm, dissected, 1 slide, AM P.90561, east of Metinaro, Secret Garden Reef (8°29'15"S 125°49'53"E), 20 m, tufts of red algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 22 September 2012 (TM 2012-060).

Distribution. Timor-Leste: Metinaro (current study). Australia: Western Australia (Hughes, 2011); Northern Territory (Lowry & Hughes, 2009); Queensland (Hughes, 2011; Lowry & Hughes, 2009). Tasman Sea: Lord Howe Island (Hughes, 2011); Norfolk Island (Hughes, 2011). Madagascar: Tuléar (Ledoyer, 1972). Mauritius: (Ledoyer

1978). Micronesia: Marshall Islands (Barnard 1965). New Caledonia: Îlot Maitre (Ledoyer 1984). Society Islands: Moorea (Krapp-Schickel & Muller, 2011). South China Sea: Nansha (or Spratly) Islands (Ren 1998). Tonga: Utulau (Myers, 1986).

Elasmopus hooheho Barnard, 1970

Figs 8–9

Elasmopus hooheho J. L. Barnard, 1970: 120, fig. 70. —J. L. Barnard, 1971: 71, figs 33–35. —Ledoyer, 1972: 217, pls 35, 36. —Ledoyer, 1978: 269. —Ledoyer, 1979: 69, fig. 40. —Ledoyer, 1983: 470, fig. 174b, 177. —Barnard & Barnard, 1983: 628. —Berents, 1983: 116, fig. 13. —Lyons & Myers, 1993: 587, fig. 10. —Myers, 1995: 38. —Myers, 1997: 109. —Ren, 1998: 197, fig. 3. —Ortiz & Lalana, 1999: 195 (list). —Lowry & Hughes, 2009: 652–656, figs 5, 6. —Krapp-Schickel & Muller, 2011: 3, 4, figs. 10–14. —Vader & Krapp-Schickel, 2012: 1198, 1199 (key). —Hughes & Lowry, 2011: 593, 594. —Ren, 2012: 200–202, fig. 86.

Elasmopus rapax. —Sivaprakasm, 1969: 45, fig. 6A.

not *Elasmopus hooheho*. —Appadoo & Steele, 1998: 639. (= *E. pseudinteger* Appadoo & Myers, 2003).

Type locality. Kawela Bay, Oahu, Hawaii.

Material examined. 1 A male, 5.0 mm, dissected, 3 slides, AM P.90514, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach, east of Cape Fatucama (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 12–15 m, dead coral rubble with epiphytic growth, coll. A. Murray, P.A. Hutchings, L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-014); 12 specimens, AM P.90513, 150 m off shore, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, east of Dili (8°31'01"S 125°42'05"E), 12–13 m, coral rubble bank, silty dead coral substrate, coll. L.E. Hughes & P.A. Hutchings, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-082); 1 A male, 4.0 mm, dissected, 4 slides, AM P.90558, east of Cape Fatucama, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 11.9 m, dead coral head, coll. L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-020); 1 B female, 4.0 mm, dissected, 1 slide, AM P.90559, east of Cape Fatucama, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 11.9 m, dead coral head, coll. L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-020); 1 specimen, AM P.90557, east of Cape Fatucama, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E),




Figure 8. *Elasmopus hooheho* Barnard, 1970, male, 5.0 mm, AM P.90514, Jesus Backside Beach, east of Cape Fatucama, scales 0.1 mm.

11.9 m, dead coral head, coll. L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-020); 1 A male, 5.0 mm, dissected, 4 slides, AM P.90517, 150 m off shore, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, east of Dili (8°31'01"S 125°42'05"E), 12–13 m, coral rubble bank, silty dead coral substrate, coll. L.E. Hughes & P.A. Hutchings, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-082); 1 specimen, AM P.90516, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach, east of Cape Fatucama (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 12–15 m, dead coral rubble with epiphytic growth, coll. A. Murray, P.A. Hutchings, L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-014); 1 B male, 4.5 mm, dissected, 1 slide, AM P.90518, 150 m off shore, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, east of Dili (8°31'01"S 125°42'05"E), 12–13 m, coral rubble bank, silty dead coral substrate, coll. L.E. Hughes & P.A. Hutchings, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-082); 8 specimens, AM P.90515, east of Atauro Island (8°13'48"S

125°36'57"E), 17 m, outer reef, dense coral reef slope, green alga *Halimeda* sp., coll. L.E. Hughes, 20 September 2012 (TM 2012-087).

Distribution. Timor-Leste (current study). Indonesia: Marsegu Island, Moluccas (Ledoyer, 1979). South China Sea: Nansha Islands (Ren, 1998). Australia: Queensland; Cocos (Keeling) Islands; Christmas Island (Berents, 1983; Lowry & Hughes, 2009; Hughes & Lowry, 2011). India: Kilkkarai, Gulf of Mannar (Sivaprakasam, 1969). Society Islands: Moorea (Krapp-Schickel & Muller, 2011). Hawaii (Barnard, 1970).




Figure 9. *Elasmopus hooheno*, Barnard, 1970, male, 5.0 mm, AM P. 90514, Jesus Backside Beach, east of Cape Fatucama, scales 0.1 mm.

Elasmopus tibarensis sp. nov.

Figs 10–12

Type material. Holotype male, 8.0 mm, dissected, 4 slides, AM P.90521, off Tibar, west of Dili, (8°33'48"S 125°28'31"E), 10–12 m, on outer side of reef, rubble and sandy reef slope coral rubble and mixed algae, coll. A. Murray, 21 September 2012 (TM 2012-037); paratype female, 5.0 mm, dissected slides, AM P.90522, off Tibar, west of Dili, (8°33'48"S 125°28'31"E), 10–12 m, on outer side of reef, rubble and sandy reef slope coral rubble and mixed algae, coll. A. Murray, 21 September 2012 (TM 2012-037); paratype female, AM P.90520, off Tibar, west of Dili, (8°33'48"S 125°28'31"E), 10–12 m, on outer side of reef, rubble and sandy reef slope coral rubble and mixed algae, coll. A. Murray, 21 September 2012 (TM 2012-037); paratype male, AM P.90519, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach, east of Cape Fatucama, (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 12 m, red and green algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-019).

Type locality. Off Tibar, west of Dili, Timor-Leste (8°33'48"S 125°28'31"E).

Etymology. Named from the type locality.

Diagnosis. *Antenna 1* peduncular article 1 with 1 robust seta on posterior margin; accessory flagellum minute, with 2 articles. *Mandible* accessory setal row with row 3 setae; palp, article 3 long, 4 times as long as broad. *Coxa 1–3* posteroventral corner with 1 robust seta; propodus medial

surface setal comb absent, anterior margin with rows of long slender setae, palm defined by posterodistal corner with 5 robust setae, posterior margin with row of large robust setae along. *Gnathopod 2* merus acutely produced distoventrally; propodus expanded, rectilinear, posterior margin with clusters of long, slender setae, without medial setal bunch, palm acute concave, sculptured, 0.4 times length of propodus, distomedial shelf subquadrate, with group of 2 robust setae on shelf, palmar margin with distal subquadrate tooth, sparse robust setae, broadly rounded corner with 1 robust seta; *Pereopods 5–6* basis slightly expanded, posterior margin straight, serrate without long slender setae. *Pereopod 7* basis posterior margin convex, serrate, without long slender setae, posteroventral corner broadly rounded. *Epimeron 2* ventral margin smooth with 3 robust setae, posteroventral corner with small acute tooth. *Epimeron 3* ventral margin smooth, lined with short robust setae, posteroventral corner with small acute tooth. *Uropod 3* rami distally truncated, with long and short apical robust setae; inner ramus long, length 2.5 times breadth, subequal in length to outer ramus. *Telson* as long as broad, moderately cleft (66%), lobes abutting, tapering distally, each lobe with long rounded inner and shorter subacute outer apical cusp, with 2 short apical robust setae, with 2 pair of lateral plumose setae.

Description. Based on holotype male, 8.0 mm, AM P. 90521.

Head. *Eyes* well developed, ovate; lateral cephalic lobe broad, truncated, anteroventral margin with notch/slit, anteroventral corner rounded. *Antenna 1* longer than antenna 2; peduncular article 1 subequal in length to article 2, with 1 robust seta on posterior margin; peduncular article 2 longer than article 3; primary flagellum articles as long as broad, with 20 articles; accessory flagellum minute, with 2 articles. *Antenna 2* peduncular article 2 cone gland not reaching to end




Figure 10. *Elasmopus tibarensis* sp. nov., holotype male, 8.0 mm, AM P.90521, Tibar, west of Dili.

of peduncular article 3; article 4 subequal in length to article 5; flagellum with 9 articles. *Mandible* incisor asymmetrical; cuspidate margin; accessory setal row with row 3 setae; molar well developed, triturative; palp, well developed, 3-articulate; article 1 twice as long as broad, shorter than article 2; article 2 subequal to article 3, with many slender setae; article 3 strongly falcate, long, 4 times as long as broad, with apical setae and a comb of short robust setae along anterodistal margin. *Lower lip* inner lobes present, outer lobes without ducts. *Maxilla 1* inner plate subquadrate, with 2 apical plumose setae. *Maxilliped* palp propodus with small distomedial flap.

Pereon. *Gnathopod 1* coxa anterior margin concave, anteroventral corner slightly produced, rounded, posteroventral corner with 1 robust seta, without notch; merus without posterodistal tooth; carpus twice as long as broad, subequal in length to propodus; propodus subchelate, medial surface setal comb absent, anterior margin with rows of long slender setae, palm subacute, convex, entire, minutely serrate, lined with robust setae, defined by posterodistal corner with 2 robust setae, posterior margin with row of large robust setae along; dactylus closing along and reaching end of palm. *Gnathopod 2* coxa posteroventral corner with 1 robust seta, notch absent; basis slender, anterior margin without setae, basis anterodistal corner subquadrate, without robust setae; ischium without lobes; merus acutely produced distoventrally; carpus compressed, lobate, projecting between merus and propodus, length subequal to breadth, anterior margin without setae; propodus expanded, rectilinear, anterior margin with several short robust setae, posterior margin with clusters of long, slender setae, without medial setal bunch, palm acute concave, sculptured, 0.4 times length of propodus, distomedial shelf subquadrate, with group of 5 robust setae

on shelf, palmar margin with distal subquadrate tooth, sparse robust setae, subpalmar surface smooth, broadly rounded corner with 1 robust seta; dactylus with 1 seta on anterior margin, without posteroproximal shelf, posterior margin smooth, closing along and reaching end of palm, apically subacute. *Pereopod 3* coxa posteroventral corner with 1 robust seta. *Pereopods 5–6* basis slightly expanded, posterior margin straight, serrate without long slender setae, posteroventral corner subquadrate; carpus and propodus without long, slender setae along anterior margin; dactylus unguis simple. *Pereopod 7* basis posterior margin convex, serrate, without long slender setae, posteroventral corner broadly rounded; carpus and propodus with a few long slender setae along posterior margin.

Pleon. *Epimeron 1* ventral margin smooth, posteroventral corner with small acute tooth. *Epimeron 2* ventral margin smooth with 3 robust setae, posteroventral corner with small acute tooth. *Epimeron 3* ventral margin smooth, lined with short robust setae, posteroventral corner with small acute tooth. *Uropod 1* peduncle with 1 basofacial seta; inner ramus slightly longer than outer ramus. *Uropod 2* inner ramus slightly longer than outer ramus. *Uropod 3* rami distally truncated, with long and short apical robust setae; inner ramus long, length 2.5 times breadth, subequal in length to outer ramus, longer than peduncle. *Telson* as long as broad, moderately cleft (66%), lobes abutting, tapering distally, each lobe with long rounded inner and shorter subacute outer apical cusp, with 2 short apical robust setae, with 2 pair of lateral plumose setae.

Remarks. The presence of a robust setae on the ventral margin of coxa 1 to 3 is presently unique to *E. tibarensis* sp. nov. The only other *Elasmopus* species known to have robust setae on the coxae is *E. pseudaffinis* Schellenberg, 1938, which has 3 robust setae on the posterior margin of coxa 1.

The elongate article 3 of the mandibular palp aligns *E. tibarensis* sp. nov. with the pragmatic *E. delaplata* group (Hughes, 2011) which includes 15 species: *E. aduncus* Myers, 1995; *E. alalo* Myers, 1986; *E. balkomanus* Thomas and Barnard, 1988; *E. bollonsi* Chilton, 1915; *E. delaplata*




Figure 11. *Elasmopus tibarensis* sp. nov., holotype male, 8.0 mm, AM P.90521, Tibar, west of Dili, scales 0.1 mm.

Stebbing, 1888; *E. hawaiiensis* Schellenberg, 1938; *E. karamani* Souza-Filho and Senna, 2009; *E. neglectus* Chilton, 1915; *E. piikoi* J. L. Barnard, 1970; *E. shepherdii* sp. nov.; *E. slatyeri* Lowry & Hughes, 2009; *E. thomasi* Ortiz and Lalana, 1994; *E. varanocephalensis* Lowry & Hughes, 2009; *E. wahine* J. L. Barnard, 1972b and *E. woodjonesi* Hughes, 2011. Of these species, in only *E. alalo* and *E. tibarensis* sp. nov. the gnathopod 2 propodus palm of males lack a dense brush of setae.

Elasmopus tibarensis sp. nov. is most similar to *E. alalo* in the sculpturing and setation of the male gnathopod 2 palm. *Elasmopus tibarensis* sp. nov. has short rami on uropod 3 and pereopods 5 to 7 with serrate posterior margins, these character states separate it from *E. alalo* (which has elongate uropod 3 rami and smooth pereopod margins).

Distribution. Timor-Leste: Tibar, Cape Fatucama (current study).




Figure 12. *Elasmopus tibarensis* sp. nov., holotype male, 8.0 mm, AM P.90521; paratype female, 5.0 mm, AM P.90522, west of Dili, scales 0.1 mm.

***Linguimaera* Pirlot, 1936**

***Linguimaera christorei* sp. nov.**

Figs 13–15

Type material. Holotype male, 5.0 mm, dissected, 5 slides, AM P.90576, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach, east of Cape Fatucama (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 12–15 m, dead coral rubble with epiphytic growth and sponges, coll. A. Murray, P.A. Hutchings, L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-014); paratype female, 5.0 mm, AM P.90564, south side off Christo Rei Beach, east of Dili (8°31'46"S 125°36'21"E), 5–10 m, coral slope, dead coral rubble, coll. L.E. Hughes, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-088); paratype female, AM P.90565, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach, east of Cape Fatucama (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 12–15 m, dead coral rubble with epiphytic growth and sponges, coll. A. Murray, P.A. Hutchings, L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-014).

Type locality. 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach, east of Cape Fatucama, Timor-Leste (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E).

Etymology. Named for the statue which overlooks the type locality.

Diagnosis. *Antenna 1* peduncular article 1 with 1 robust seta on posterior margin; accessory flagellum short, with 4 articles. *Antenna 2* peduncular article 2 cone gland not reaching to end of peduncular article 3; peduncular article

4 longer in length than article 5. *Mandible* accessory setal row with 4 setae; *Maxilla 1* inner plate with 3 plumose setae; outer plate palp article 2 slender with apical fine setae only. *Gnathopod 2* significantly enlarged in male, not in female, left and right gnathopods unequal in size. *Gnathopod 2* larger gnathopod; propodus anterior and posterior margins with rows of long slender comb setae, propodus palm subacute, straight, distal shelf with group of 6 robust setae, with 2 evenly spaced subacute teeth, palm margin with sparse robust setae, posterodistal corner produced, straight, defined by tooth, with robust seta; dactylus closing along and reaching end of palm, with anterior margin with slender seta, posterior margin smooth, apically acute. *Gnathopod 2* smaller gnathopod subchelate; merus with acute posteroventral corner; carpus long, length 1.1 times propodus. *Pereopods 5–7* basis posterior margin straight, weakly serrate posteroventral corner subquadrate; carpus and propodus without long, slender setae along margins. *Epimeron 2* posterior margin smooth, ventral margin smooth with 3 robust setae, posteroventral corner with notch. *Epimeron 3* ventral margin smooth, with 2 robust setae, posteroventral corner serrate distally. *Uropod 3* rami distally truncated, with long and short apical robust setae; inner ramus long, length 4 times breadth. *Telson* each lobe with subequal or longer outer apical cusps, apical margins concave, apical cusps subacute, reaching scarcely one third along longest seta, each lobe with 1 long apical robust seta, and 1 lateral short robust setae.




Figure 13. *Linguimaera christorei*, sp. nov., holotype male, 5.0 mm, AM P.90576, east of Cape Fatucama, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach.

Description. Based on holotype male 5 mm, AM P.90576.

Head. *Eyes* well developed, reniform; lateral cephalic lobe broad, rounded, anteroventral margin with notch/slit, corner rounded. *Antenna 1* longer than antenna 2; peduncular article 1 shorter than article 2, with 1 robust seta on posterior margin; peduncular article 2 longer than article 3; primary flagellum articles as long as broad with 24 articles; accessory flagellum short, significantly less than half length of primary flagellum, with 4 articles. *Antenna 2* peduncular article 2 cone gland not reaching to end of peduncular article 3; peduncular article 4 longer in length than article 5; flagellum with 8 articles. *Mandible* accessory setal row with 4 setae; molar enlarged; palp, well developed, 3-articulate; article 1 as long as broad, shorter than article 2, inner margin not produced distally; article 2 longer than article 3 with few slender setae; article 3 rectilinear, long, 3 times as long as broad, longer than article 1 with 4 subapical slender setae. *Lower lip* inner lobes present, outer lobes without ducts. *Maxilla 1* inner plate with 3 plumose setae; outer plate palp article 2 slender with apical fine setae only.

Pereon. *Gnathopod 1* coxa anterior margin concave, anteroventral corner produced, subacute, posteroventral corner with notch; merus without posterodistal tooth; carpus twice as long as broad, subequal in length to propodus, with a few slender setae; propodus palm subacute, convex, entire, defined by posterodistal corner with 1 robust seta. *Gnathopod 2* sexually dimorphic, significantly enlarged in male, not in female, left and right gnathopods unequal in size. *Gnathopod 2* larger gnathopod; subchelate; coxa posteroventral corner notched; basis slender, anterodistal

corner subquadrate; ischium without lobes; merus with subquadrate posteroventral corner; carpus compressed, length 0.2 times propodus; propodus subchelate, expanded, rectilinear, anterior and posterior margins with rows of long slender comb setae, propodus palm subacute, straight, distal shelf with group of 6 robust setae, with 2 evenly spaced subacute teeth, palm margin with sparse robust setae, posterodistal corner produced, straight, defined by tooth, with robust seta; dactylus closing along and reaching end of palm, with anterior margin with slender seta, posterior margin smooth, apically acute. *Gnathopod 2* smaller gnathopod subchelate; merus with acute posteroventral corner; carpus long, length 1.1 times propodus; propodus linear, palm subacute, convex, defined by posteroventral corner, with 1 robust seta; dactylus closing along and reaching end of palm, anterior margin with 1 slender seta, apically subacute. *Pereopods 3–7* dactylus without accessory posterodistal spine, ungues simple. *Pereopods 5–7* basis posterior margin straight, weakly serrate posteroventral corner subquadrate; carpus and propodus without long, slender setae along margins.

Pleon. *Pleonites 1–3* dorsally smooth. *Epimeron 1* posterior and ventral margins smooth, posteroventral corner with notch. *Epimeron 2* posterior margin smooth, with 2 robust setae ventral margin smooth with 3 robust setae, posteroventral corner with notch. *Epimeron 3* ventral margin smooth, posteroventral corner serrate distally. *Uropod 1* peduncle with 1 basofacial seta; rami slender, inner ramus slightly shorter than outer ramus. *Uropod 2* rami slender, inner ramus slightly shorter than outer ramus. *Uropod 3* rami




Figure 14. *Linguimaera christorei*, sp. nov., holotype male, 5.0 mm, AM P.90576, east of Cape Fatucama, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach, scales 0.1 mm.

distally truncated, with long and short apical robust setae; inner ramus long, length 4 times breadth, much longer than peduncle, subequal in length to outer ramus. Telson longer than broad, deeply cleft (more than 66%), lobes divergent, tapering distally, each lobe with subequal or longer outer apical cusps, apical margins concave, apical cusps subacute, reaching scarcely one third along longest seta, each lobe with 1 long apical robust seta, and 1 lateral short robust seta.

Remarks. In *Linguimaera christorei* sp. nov. the larger male gnathopod 2 propodus palm has a distal shelf and two evenly spaced teeth which distinguish it from all other

Linguimaera species. Males of *Linguimaera boeckii* Lowry & Springthorpe, 2005 and *L. mannarensis* Sivaprakasam, 1970 have a similar gnathopod 2 palm with two teeth but this differs in the shape and the position of the teeth.

Examination under high power compound microscope confirms that the setae projecting from the mandibular molar surface are attached and not a misinterpretation, these structures are unusual within the group. Limited material and damage during dissection prevented a more detailed inspection under a scanning microscope.

Distribution. Timor-Leste (current study).




Figure 15. *Linguimaera christorei*, sp. nov., holotype male, 5.0 mm, AM P.90576, east of Cape Fatucama, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach, scales 0.1 mm.

Mallacoota J. L. Barnard, 1972a

***Mallacoota latibrachium* (Walker, 1905)**

Figs 16–19

Elasmopus latibrachium Walker, 1905: 928, pl. 88, figs 6–10.

?*Parelasomus suluensis*. —Nayar, 1966: 153, fig. 11a–c.

Maera latibrachium. —Sivaprakasam, 1969: 41, fig. 3.

Mallacoota latibrachium. —Barnard & Barnard, 1983: 632.

Type localities. Maldive and Laccadive Archipelago (Hulule and Minikoi).

Material examined. Male, 6.5 mm, dissected, 4 slides, AM P.90586, south side off Christo Rei Beach, east of Dili (8°31'46"S 125°36'21"E), 5.5 m, coral slope, broad-leaf green alga *Halimeda* sp., coll. L.E. Hughes, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-074); female specimen, 6.0 mm, dissected, 1 slide, AM P.90587, south side off Christo Rei Beach, east of

Dili (8°31'46"S 125°36'21"E), 5.5 m, coral slope, broad-leaf green alga *Halimeda* sp., coll. L.E. Hughes, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-074); 2 specimens (1 male and 1 female), AM P.90585, south side off Christo Rei Beach, east of Dili (8°31'46"S 125°36'21"E), 5.5 m, coral slope, broad-leaf green alga *Halimeda* sp., coll. L.E. Hughes, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-074); 1 male, AM P.90601, south side off Christo Rei Beach, east of Dili (8°31'46"S 125°36'21"E), 9 m, coral slope, sand and short-leaf green alga *Halimeda* sp., coll. L.E. Hughes, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-077); 1 male, AM P.90600, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach, east of Cape Fatucama (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 12 m, red and green algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-019); 3 specimens (1 male and 2 female), AM P.90602, east of Atauro Island (8°14'30"S 125°36'49"E), 14 m, inner reef, reef slope, mixed turfing algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 20 September 2012 (TM 2012-034).




Figure 16. *Mallacoota latibrachium* (Walker, 1905), male, 6.5 mm, AM P.90586, south side off Christo Rei Beach, east of Dili.

***Pareiasmopus* Stebbing, 1888**

***Pareiasmopus cymatilis* Lowry & Hughes, 2009**

Figs 20–21

Pareiasmopus echo. —Berents, 1983: 136, fig. 27. —Lowry & Stoddart, 2003: 186 (in part).

Pareiasmopus cymatilis Lowry & Hughes, 2009: 691–695, figs. 27, 28. —Hughes, 2011: 71, 72.

not *Pareiasmopus echo* J. L. Barnard, 1972a.

Type locality. Fringing reef between Bird Islet and South Island, Lizard Island, Queensland, Australia (14°40'S 145°28'E).

Material examined. 1 male, 6.5 mm, dissected, 4 slides, AM P.90580, east of Dili, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, 150 m off shore, (8°31'01"S 125°42'05"E), 12–13 m, coral rubble bank, dead coral rubble, coll. L.E. Hughes & P.A. Hutchings, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-082); 1 male, 6.0 mm, dissected, 1 slide, AM P.90581, east of Dili, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, 150 m off shore, (8°31'01"S 125°42'05"E), 12–13 m, coral rubble bank, dead coral rubble, coll. L.E. Hughes & P.A. Hutchings, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-082); 1 female, 9.0 mm, dissected, 1 slide, AM P.90582, east of Dili, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, 150 m off shore, (8°31'01"S 125°42'05"E), 12–13 m, coral rubble bank, dead coral rubble, coll. L.E. Hughes & P.A. Hutchings, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-082); 1 female, 6.5 mm, dissected, 1 slide, AM P.90583, east of Dili, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, 150 m off shore, (8°31'01"S 125°42'05"E), 12–13 m, coral rubble bank, dead coral rubble, coll. L.E. Hughes & P.A. Hutchings, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-082); many specimens, AM P.90569, east of Dili, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, 150 m off shore, (8°31'01"S 125°42'05"E),

12–13 m, coral rubble bank, dead coral rubble, coll. L.E. Hughes & P.A. Hutchings, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-082); 2 specimens, AM P.90579, east of Dili, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, 150 m off shore, (8°31'01"S 125°42'05"E), 12–13 m, coral rubble bank, dead coral rubble, coll. L.E. Hughes & P.A. Hutchings, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-082); 4 specimens, AM P.90572, east of Dili, south side off Christo Rei Beach, coral slope (8°31'46"S 125°36'21"E), 5–10 m, dead coral rubble, coll. L.E. Hughes, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-088); 2 females, AM P.90599, east of Dili, south side off Christo Rei Beach, coral slope (8°31'46"S 125°36'21"E), 5–10 m, dead coral rubble, coll. L.E. Hughes, 23 September 2012 (TM 2012-088); 4 specimens, AM P.90571, east of Metinaro, Secret Garden Reef (8°29'15"S 125°49'53"E), 19–21 m, coral rubble, coll. L.E. Hughes, 22 September 2012 (TM 2012-057); 1 specimen, AM P.90566, east of Cape Fatucama, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 11.9 m, dead coral head, coll. L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-020); 2 specimens, AM P.90570, east of Cape Fatucama, 300 m off Jesus Backside Beach (8°31'16"S 125°36'46"E), 12–15 m, dead coral rubble with epiphytic growth and sponges, coll. A. Murray, P.A. Hutchings, L.E. Hughes & A. Reid, 19 September 2012 (TM 2012-014); 4 specimens, AM P.90567, east of Atauro Island, outer reef, dense coral reef slope (8°13'48"S 125°36'57"E), 17 m, green alga *Halimeda* sp., coll. L.E. Hughes, 20 September 2012 (TM 2012-087); 2 specimens, AM P.90568, east of Atauro Island, inner reef, reef slope (8°14'30"S 125°36'49"E), 14 m, mixed turfing algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 20 September 2012 (TM 2012-034).

Distribution. Timor-Leste (current study). Australia: Queensland (Berents, 1983; Lowry & Hughes, 2009); Northern Territory and Western Australia (Hughes, 2011).




Figure 17. *Mallacoota latibrachium* (Walker, 1905), female, 6.0 mm, AM P.90587, south side off Christo Rei Beach, east of Dili, scales 0.1 mm.




Figure 18. *Mallacoota latibrachium* (Walker, 1905), male, 6.5 mm, AM P.90586, south side off Christo Rei Beach, east of Dili, scales 0.1 mm.




Figure 19. *Mallacoota latibrachium* (Walker, 1905), male, 6.5 mm, AM P.90586, south side off Christo Rei Beach, east of Dili, scales 0.1 mm.




Figure 20. *Parelasmopus cymatilis* Lowry & Hughes, 2009, male, 6.5 mm, AM P.90580, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, east of Dili.

Quadrimaera Krapp-Schickel & Ruffo, 2000

Quadrimaera metinaro sp. nov.

Figs 22–24

Type material. Holotype male, 3.5 mm, dissected, 4 slides, AM P.90577, east of Metinaro, Secret Garden Reef (8°29'15"S 125°49'53"E), 20 m, tufts of red algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 22 September 2012 (TM 2012-060); paratype female, 5.0 mm, dissected, 1 slide, AM P.90578, east of Metinaro, Secret Garden Reef (8°29'15"S 125°49'53"E), 20 m, tufts of red algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 22 September 2012 (TM 2012-060); paratypes 10 specimens, AM P.90595, east of Metinaro, Secret Garden Reef (8°29'15"S 125°49'53"E), 20 m, tufts of red algae, coll. L.E. Hughes, 22 September 2012 (TM 2012-060).

Type locality. East of Metinaro, Secret Garden Reef (8°29'15"S 125°49'53"E).

Etymology. Named from the type locality, applied as a noun in apposition.

Diagnosis. *Gnathopod* 2 propodus palm with subacute distal shelf, convex sinus and long rectangular tooth, distal shelf elevation higher than proximal tooth, palm defined by corner with well-developed tooth extending beyond palm margin; dactylus closing along palm with medial bulge. *Epimera* 1–3 with small acute tooth. *Telson* lobes distally concave with 4 long robust setae, setae subequal to telson length.

Description. Head lateral cephalic lobe subquadrate, anteroventral corner produced into a sharp cusp, lacking

anteroventral slit. *Antenna* 1 peduncular article 2 subequal to article 1; accessory flagellum with 7 articles. *Antenna* 2 gland cone reaching end of short article 3. *Mandible* palp article 3 longer than article 2.

Pereon. *Gnathopod* 1 coxa subquadrate; carpus rectilinear, length 1.1 times propodus; propodus subovate, palm convex, defined by rounded corner with one robust seta. *Gnathopod* 2 basis with anterodistal lobe well developed; ischium anterior margin with well-developed lobes; propodus rectangular with parallel margins, palm with subacute distal shelf, convex sinus and long rectangular tooth, distal shelf elevation higher than proximal tooth, palm defined by corner with well-developed tooth extending beyond palm margin; dactylus closing along palm with medial bulge. *Pereopods* 3–7 with bifid dactylus. *Pereopods* 5–7 basis narrow, proximally broader.

Pleon. *Epimera* 1–3 with small acute tooth. *Uropod* 3 peduncle 0.9 times rami length; inner ramus 1.1 times outer ramus; rami apically truncate with many long robust setae. *Telson* deeply cleft (80%), lobes distally concave with 4 long robust setae, setae subequal to telson length.

Female (sexually dimorphic characters). Based on 5.0 mm, AM P.90578.

Gnathopod 2 propodus palm with subacute distal shelf, convex sinus and long rectangular tooth, distal shelf elevation higher than proximal tooth, palm defined by corner with well-developed tooth extending beyond palm margin.

Remarks. *Quadrimaera metinaro* sp. nov. is similar to *Q. serrata* (Schellenberg, 1938) and *Q. reishi* (Barnard, 1970), these species have the gnathopod 2 propodus palm with a concave sinus in mature male specimens. In *Q. metinaro*




Figure 21. *Parelasmopus cymatilis* Lowry & Hughes, 2009, male, 6.5 mm, AMP.90580, halfway between Hera and Metinaro, east of Dili, scales 0.1 mm.

and *Q. reishi* the telson apical margin lobes have 4 long robust setae, while only 3 setae are present in *Q. serrata*. In *Q. metinaro* and *Q. reishi* the epimeron 3 posterior margin is smooth, whereas it is serrate in *Q. serrata*.

Quadrimaera metinaro sp. nov. can be separated from *Q. reishi* by the gnathopod 2 palm margin. The proximal palm margin is raised higher in *Q. metinaro* than the distal margin, while the opposite is seen in *Q. reishi*.




Figure 22. *Quadrimaera metinaro* sp. nov. male, 3.5 mm, AM P.90577, east of Metinaro, Secret Garden Reef.




Figure 23. *Quadrimaera metinaro* sp. nov. male, 3.5 mm, AM P.90577; female, 5.0 mm, AM P.90578, east of Metinaro, Secret Garden Reef, scales 0.1 mm.




Figure 24. *Quadrimaera metinaro* sp. nov. male, 3.5 mm, AM P.90577, east of Metinaro, Secret Garden Reef, scales 0.1 mm.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. Field work was made possible by a gracious donation to the Australian Museum Foundation. I am grateful to Rachael Peart for pencil illustrations of material and Arundathi Bopiah for assistance with plate preparation.

References

- Appadoo, C., and D.H. Steele. 1998. Shallow-water marine gammaridean amphipods of Mauritius Island. *Crustaceana* 71: 633–645.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/156854098X00635>
- Appadoo, C., and A. A. Myers. 2003. The genus *Elasmopus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Melitidae) from Mauritius (Indian Ocean) with description of five new species. *Records of the Australian Museum* 55(1): 61–84.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1975.55.2003.1375>
- Barnard, J. L. 1965. Marine Amphipoda of atolls in Micronesia. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 117: 459–551.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5479/si.00963801.117-3516.459>
- Barnard, J. L. 1970. Sublittoral Gammaridea (Amphipoda) of the Hawaiian Islands. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 34: 1–286.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.34>
- Barnard, J. L. 1971. Keys to the Hawaiian marine Gammaridea, 0–30 meters. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 58: 1–135.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.58>
- Barnard, J. L. 1972a. Gammaridean Amphipoda of Australia, part I. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 103: 1–333.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.103>
- Barnard, J. L. 1972b. The marine fauna of New Zealand: algae-living littoral Gammaridea (Crustacea Amphipoda). *New Zealand Oceanographic Institute Memoir* 62: 1–216.
- Barnard, J. L., and C. M. Barnard, eds. 1983. *Freshwater Amphipoda of the World*. Vol. I. *Evolutionary Patterns*. Vol. II. *Handbook and Bibliography*. Mount Vernon, Virginia: Hayfield Associates.
- Bate, C. S., ed. 1862. *Catalogue of the Specimens of Amphipodous Crustacea in the Collection of the British Museum*. London: Trustees, British Museum.
- Berents, P. B. 1983. The Melitidae of Lizard Island and adjacent reefs, The Great Barrier Reef, Australia (Crustacea: Amphipoda). *Records of the Australian Museum* 35(3): 101–143.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1975.35.1983.313>
- Chilton, C. 1915. The New Zealand species of the amphipodan genus *Elasmopus*. *Transactions and Proceedings of the New Zealand Institute* 47: 320–330.
- Costa, A. 1853. Relazione sulla memoria del Dottor Achille Costa, di ricerche su' crostacei amfipodi del regno di Napoli. *Rendiconto della Società Reale Borbonica, Accademie delle Scienze, Series 2*, 2: 167–178.
- Della Valle, A. 1893. *Gammarini del Golfo di Napoli. Fauna und Flora des Golfes von Neapel* 20: 1–948, pls 941–961.
- Gutu, M. 1997. Data concerning the areas and collecting stations of the marine samples of microbenthos. In *Results of the Zoological Expedition organized by "Grigore Antipa" Museum in the Indonesian Archipelago (1991)*. I. *Peracarida (Crustacea)*. M. Gutu (co-ordinator), pp. 15–27. *Travaux du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle "Grigore Antipa"* 38.
- Haswell, W. A. 1879. On Australian Amphipoda. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* 4(3): 245–279, pls 247–212.
- Haswell, W. A., ed. 1882. *Catalogue of the Australian Stalk- and Sessile-eyed Crustacea*. Sydney: Australian Museum.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.1948>
- Haswell, W. A. 1885. Notes on the Australian Amphipoda. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* 10, 1: 95–114, pls 110–118.
- Hughes, L. E. 2011. New species of *Hoho*, *Mallacoota* and *Parelasomus* (Maeridae: Amphipoda) from Australian waters. *Zootaxa* 2955: 1–79.
- Hughes, L. E., and J. K. Lowry. 2011. The genus *Elasmopus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Maeridae) in Australian waters. *Journal of Natural History* 45(9–10): 579–628.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2010.534825>
- Krapp-Schickel, T. 2008. What has happened with the *Maera*-clade (Crustacea, Amphipoda) during the last decades? *Bollettino del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona* 32: 3–32.
- Krapp-Schickel, T., and A. A. Myers. 2006. New and little known Aoridae, Corophiidae, Kamakidae, Photidae and Unciolidae (Crustacea : Amphipoda) from the Indo-Pacific. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 86(5): 1083–1096.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0025315406014056>
- Krapp-Schickel, T., and S. Ruffo. 2000. The *Maera quadrimana* - complex (Crustacea Amphipoda, Melitidae) demands a new concept: *Quadrimaera* n.gen. (with description of three new species from Western Atlantic). *Bollettino del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona* 24: 193–214.
- Krapp-Schickel T., and W. Vader. 2009. On some Maerid genera (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Maeridae) collected by the Hourglass Cruises (Florida). Part 1: Genera *Anamaera*, *Ceradocus*, *Clessidra* gen. nov., *Jerbarnia*, *Maera*, *Meximaera*, with a key to world *Ceradocus*. *Journal of Natural History* 43(33–34): 2057–2086.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222930903091007>
- Krapp-Schickel, T., and H.-G. Muller. 2011. Known and unknown hadzioidean amphipods (Crustacea) from Polynesia with *Elasmopus polynesius* sp. nov. and *Kairos segregans* gen. nov., sp. nov. *Marine Biodiversity Records* 4(e92): 1–14.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1755267211000820>
- Krapp-Schickel, T., and S. Ruffo. 2006. New or poorly known *Quadrimaera* species from the Red Sea and Indian Ocean (Amphipoda, Melitidae). *Bollettino del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona, Botanica Zoologia* 30: 57–70.
- Ledoyer, M. 1972. Amphipodes gammariens vivant dans les alvéoles des constrictions organogènes récifales intertidales de la région de Tuléar (Madagascar). Etude systématique et écologique. *Téthys Supplement* 3: 165–285.
- Ledoyer, M. 1978. Amphipodes gammariens (Crustacea) des biotopes cavitaires organogènes récifaux de l'île Maurice (Océan Indien). *The Mauritius Institute Bulletin* 8, 3: 197–332.
- Ledoyer, M. 1979. Les gammariens de la pente externe du Grand Récif de Tuléar (Madagascar) (Crustacea Amphipoda). *Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale, Verona, Series 2, Sezione Science della Vita* 2: 1–150.
- Ledoyer, M. 1983. Crustacés amphipodes gammariens. *Familles des Acanthonotozomatidae à Gammaridae. Faune de Madagascar* 59, 1: 1–598.
- Ledoyer, M. 1984. Les gammariens (Crustacea, Amphipoda) des herbiers de phanérogames marines de Nouvelle Calédonie (région de Nouméa). *Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Series A, Zoology* 129: 1–113.
- Lowry, J. K., and H. E. Stoddart. 2003. *Crustacea: Malacostraca: Peracarida: Amphipoda, Cumacea, Mysidacea*. Melbourne, Australia: CSIRO Publishing.
- Lowry, J. K., and R. T. Springthorpe. 2005. New and little-known melitid amphipods from Australian waters (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Melitidae). *Records of the Australian Museum* 57(2): 237–302.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1975.57.2005.1463>
- Lowry J. K., and L. E. Hughes. 2009. Maeridae, the *Elasmopus* group. In *Amphipoda of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia*, ed. J. K. Lowry and A. A. Myers. *Zootaxa* 2260: 643–702.
- Lyons, J., and Myers, A. A. 1993. Amphipoda Gammaridea from coral rubble in the Gulf of Aqaba, Red Sea: families Megaluropidae, Melitidae, Phliantidae, Phoxocephalidae, and Urothoidae. *Journal of Natural History* 27, 3: 575–598.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222939300770331>
- Myers, A. A. 1986. Amphipoda from the South Pacific: Tonga. *Records of the Australian Museum* 38(5): 271–289.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1975.38.1986.183>

- Myers, A. A. 1995. Marine Amphipoda of Micronesia: Kosrae. *Records of the Australian Museum* 47(1): 27–38.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1975.47.1995.4>
- Myers, A. A. 1997. Amphipoda from the South Pacific: Western Samoa. *Records of the Australian Museum* 49(1): 99–109.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1975.49.1997.1260>
- Nayar, K. N. 1966. On the gammaridean Amphipoda of the Gulf of Mannar, with special reference to those of the pearl and chalk beds. *Proceedings of the Symposium on Crustacea held at Ernakulam from January 12 to 15, 1965*. Marine Biological Association of India.
- Ortiz, M., and R. Lalana. 1994. Two new species of the genus *Elasmopus* (Amphipoda: Gammaridea), from the Cuban marine waters. *Travaux du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle "Grigore Antipa"* 34: 293–302.
- Ortiz, M., and R. Lalana. 1997. Amphipoda. Results of the Zoological Expedition Organized by "Grigore Antipa" Museum in the Indonesian Archipelago (1991). 1. Percarida (Crustacea). *Travaux du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle "Grigore Antipa"*. M. Gutu. 38: 29–113.
- Ortiz, M., and R. Lalana. 1999. Amphipoda (Crustacea) from Indonesia collected by the expedition of "Grigore Antipa" Museum from Bucharest. *Travaux du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle "Grigore Antipa"* 41: 155–198.
- Ortiz, M., and R. Lalana. 2003. On a new species of *Podocerus* (Amphipoda: Gammaridea: Podoceridae) from the Indonesian Archipelago. *Travaux du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle "Grigore Antipa"* 45: 61–66.
- Peart, R. A. 2007. A review of the Australian species of *Ampithoe* Leach, 1814 (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Ampithoidae) with descriptions of seventeen new species. *Zootaxa* 1566: 1–95.
- Pirlot, J. M. 1932. Les amphipodes de l'expédition du Siboga. Deuxième partie. Les amphipodes gammarides. I.—Les amphipodes fouisseurs. Phoxocephalidae, Oedicerotidae. *Siboga-Expedition, Monographie* 33b: 57–113.
- Pirlot, J. M. 1936. Les amphipodes de l'expédition du Siboga. Deuxième partie: Les amphipodes gammarides, II.—Les amphipodes de la mer profonde. 3: Addendum et partie générale. III.—Les amphipodes littoraux. 1: Lysianassidae, Ampeliscidae, Leucothoidae, Stenothoidae, Phliantidae, Colomastigidae, Ochlesidae, Liljeborgiidae, Oedicerotidae, Synopiidae, Eusiridae, Gammaridae. *Siboga-Expedition, Monographie* 33c: 237–328.
- Pirlot, J. M. 1938. Les amphipodes de l'expédition du Siboga. Deuxième partie. Les amphipodes gammarides III.—Les amphipodes littoraux. 2. Familles des Dexaminidae, Talitridae, Aoridae, Photidae, Ampithoidae, Corophiidae, Jassidae, Cheluridae et Podoceridae. Première partie (addendum). Les amphipodes hypérides. Familles des Lanceolidae, Cystisomatidae et Oxycephalidae. La sexualité chez Cystisoma Guérin Méneville. *Siboga-Expedition, Monographie* 33f: 329–388.
- Ren, X. 1998. Studies on family Melitidae (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from Nansha Islands, South China Sea. *Studies on Marine Fauna and Flora and Biogeography of the Nansha Islands and Neighboring Waters* 3: 193–218.
- Ren, X. 2012. Crustacea Amphipoda Gammaridea (II). *Fauna Sinica Invertebrata* 43: pp. 651.
- Schellenberg, A. 1938. Litorale Amphipoden des tropischen Pazifiks nach Sammlungen von Prof. Bock (Stockholm), Prof. Dahl (Berlin) und Prof. Pietschmann (Wein). *Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar*, Series 3, 16(6): 1–105.
- Sheard, K. 1939. Studies in Australian Gammaridea (1). The genus *Ceradocus*. *Records of the South Australian Museum* 6, 3: 275–295.
- Sivaprakasam, T. E. 1969. Amphipods of the genera *Maera* Leach and *Elasmopus* Costa from the east coast of India. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of India* 10: 34–51.
- Sivaprakasam, T. E. 1970. A new species and a new record of Amphipoda (Crustacea) from the Gulf of Mannar. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of India* 102 (1968): 274–282.
- Stebbing, T. R. R. 1888. Report on the Amphipoda collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873–1876. *Report on the Scientific Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873–76, Zoology* 29: 1–1737, pls 1731–1210.
- Stebbing, T. R. R. 1906. Amphipoda. I. Gammaridea. *Das Tierreich* 21: 1–806.
- Stebbing, T. R. R. 1910. Scientific results of the trawling expedition of H.M.C.S. "Thetis" off the coast of New South Wales, in February and March, 1898, Crustacea. Part V. Amphipoda. *Australian Museum Memoir* 4(12): 567–658.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1967.4.1910.1508>
- Thomas, J. D., and J. L. Barnard. 1988. *Elasmopus balkomanus*, a new species from the Florida Keys (Crustacea, Amphipoda). *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 101, 4: 838–842.
- Walker, A. O. 1904. Report on the Amphipoda collected by Professor Herdman, at Ceylon, in 1902. *Ceylon Pearl Oyster Fisheries—1904—Supplementary Reports* 17: 229–300, pls 221–228.
- Walker, A. O. 1905. Marine crustaceans. XVI. Amphipoda. *Fauna and Geography of the Maldives and Laccadive Archipelagos* 2, Supplement 1: 923–932, pl. 988.
- Vader, W., and T. Krapp-Schickel. 2012. On some maerid and melitid material (Crustacea: Amphipoda) collected by the Hourglass Cruises (Florida). Part 2: Genera *Dulichella* and *Elasmopus*, with a key to world *Elasmopus*. *Journal of Natural History* 46: 19–20, 1179–1218.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2011.652984>
- Vonk, R., and D. Juame. 2013. A new ingolfiellid amphipod crustacean from sandy beaches of the Gura Ici Islands, Western Halmahera (North Moluccas). *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology* 61: 547–560.
- Vonk, R., and D. Juame. 2014. Syntopy in rare marine interstitial crustaceans (Amphipoda, Ingolfiellidae) from small coral islands in the Molucca Sea, Indonesia. *Marine Biodiversity* 44(2): 163–172.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12526-013-0193-0>
- Vonk, R., B. W. Hoeksema, and D. Juame. 2011. A new marine interstitial *Psammogammarus* (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Melitidae) from Gura Ici Island, off western Halmahera (North Moluccas, Indonesia), and an overview of the genus. *ZooKeys* (128): 53.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.128.1661>

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

Manuscripts must be submitted to the Editor. All manuscripts are refereed externally. Members of the Editorial Committee oversee the peer-review process and establish publication standards.

Only those manuscripts that meet the following requirements will be considered for publication.

Submit manuscripts and all images electronically; images should be high resolution TIFFs (see below). Attach one summary file or cover sheet giving: the title; the name, address and contact details of each author; the author responsible for checking proofs; a suggested running-head of less than 40 character-spaces; and the number of figures, tables and appendices. Manuscripts must be complete when submitted.

Tables and figures should be numbered and referred to in numerical order in the text. Electronic copy is stripped and reconstructed during production, so authors should avoid excessive layout or textual embellishments; a single font should be used throughout.

All copy is manipulated within a Windows (not Mac) environment using Microsoft and Adobe software. Maps should be submitted as high resolution TIFF.

Manuscripts should be prepared using recent issues as a guide. There should be a title (series titles should not be used), author(s) with their institutional addresses, an abstract (should be intelligible by itself, informative not indicative), introduction (should open with a few lines for general, non-specialist readers), materials and methods, results (usually subdivided with primary, secondary and rarely tertiary-level headings), discussion, acknowledgments and references. If appropriate, an appendix may be added after references.

In the titles of zoological works the higher classification of the group dealt with should be indicated. Except for common abbreviations, definitions should be given in the materials and methods section. Sentences should not begin with abbreviations or numerals; generic names should not be abbreviated if at the beginning of a sentence. Metric units must be used except when citing original specimen data. It is desirable to include geo-spatial coordinates; when reference is made to them, authors must ensure that their format precludes ambiguity, in particular, avoid formats that confuse arcminutes and arcseconds.

Label and specimen data should, as a minimum requirement, indicate where specimens are deposited, in addition to locality, date and collector. Original specimen data—especially that of type material—is preferred over interpreted data. If open to interpretation, cite original data between quotation marks or use “[sic]”.

Rules of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature must be followed; authors must put a very strong case if a Recommendation is not followed. When new taxa are proposed in works having multiple authors, the identity of the author(s) responsible for the new name(s) and for satisfying the criteria of availability, should be made clear in accordance with Recommendations in Chapter XI of the Code. A scientific name with more than two authors is unwieldy and should be avoided. Keys are desirable; they must be dichotomous and not serially indented. Synonymies should be of the short form: taxon author, year, pages and figures. A period and en-dash must separate taxon and author except in the case of reference to the original description. Proposed type material should be explicitly designated and, unless institutional procedure prohibits it, registered by number in an institutional collection.

Previously published illustrations will generally not be accepted. Extra costs resulting from colour production are charged to the author. All images must (a) be rectangular or square and scalable to a width of 83 mm (one text column) or 172 mm (both text columns including gutter) and any depth up to 229 mm (the number of lines in a caption limits depth); (b) have lettering similar to 14 point, upper case, normal, Helvetica or Arial, in final print; (c) have no unnecessary white or black space; and (d) have vertical or horizontal scale bars, with the lengths given in the caption and with the thickness approximately equal to an upper case 14 point letter “I”.

Digital images must be presented as TIFF, or as multilayered PSD files suitable for *Adobe Photoshop* version 5.0 or later. Halftone and colour images must be at a minimum resolution of 300 dpi at final size (at this resolution 2040 pixels = printed-page width) and all labelling must be sharp (with *anti-aliased* active). Black and white line images (bitmaps) must be at a minimum resolution of 1200 dpi at final size (at this resolution, 8160 pixels = page width = 172 mm).

When reference is made to figures in the present work use Fig. or Figs, when in another work use fig. or figs; the same case-rule applies to the words *tables* and *plates*. Figures and tables should be numbered and referred to in numerical order in the text.

Authors should refer to recent issues of the *Records of the Australian Museum* to determine the correct format for listing references and to *The Chicago Manual of Style* to resolve other matters of style. Insert URLs in the Reference section if they are known—use *digital object identifiers* (doi) if available (see www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/).

Certain anthropological manuscripts (both text and images) may deal with culturally sensitive material. Responsibility rests with authors to ensure that approvals from the appropriate person or persons have been obtained prior to submission of the manuscript.

Stratigraphic practice should follow the *International Stratigraphic Guide* (second edition) and *Field Geologist's Guide to Lithostratigraphic Nomenclature in Australia*.

The Editor and Publisher reserve the right to modify manuscripts to improve communication between author and reader. Essential corrections only may be made to final proofs. No corrections can be accepted less than four weeks prior to publication without cost to the author(s). All proofs should be returned as soon as possible.

No reprints will be available.

All authors, or the Corresponding Author on their behalf, must sign a *Licence to Publish* when a manuscript is submitted, and certify that the research described has adhered to the Australian Museum's *Guidelines for Research Practice*—or those of their home institution providing they cover the same issues, especially with respect to authorship and acknowledgment. While under consideration, a manuscript may not be submitted elsewhere.

More information and examples are freely available at our website: <http://australianmuseum.net.au/Scientific-Publications>

Editor, *Records of the Australian Museum*
Australian Museum
6 College Street
Sydney NSW 2010, Australia
editor@austmus.gov.au

nature culture **discover**

Australian Museum science is freely accessible online at
<http://australianmuseum.net.au/journalfinder>
ISSN 0067-1975 (print) · ISSN 2201-4349 (online)

